



HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

WPC No. 847 of 2026

KRISHNA PRASAD KASHYAP **versus** STATE OF CHHATTISGARH

Order on Board

25/02/2026	Mr. Malay Shrivastava, counsel for the petitioner. Mr. Anand Dadariya, Dy. Advocate General for the State / respondents No. 1 to 3. Heard. Issue notice to respondents No. 1 to 3. Mr. Anand Dadariya, Dy. Adv. General for the State accepts notice on behalf of respondents No. 1 to 3, hence, no process fee is required to be paid for issuance of notice to them. State Counsel seeks time to file reply. Issue notice to respondent No. 4 on payment of process fee as per rules. Having considered the fact that decree was granted by the Civil Judge, Class-I Ramanujganj, District Balrampur (C.G.) in favour of late Sagar Prasad Kashyap, who was father of petitioners No. 1 & 2, which was upheld up to the Hon'ble Apex Court. In the judgment passed by the trial Court, issue of granting patta in favour of father of petitioners No. 1 & 2 was also considered. Father of respondents No. 5 to 7 namely late Bittu Mahato had filed complaint against late Sagar Prasad

Kashyap alleging that said patta was obtained by him fraudulently, but that complaint case was dismissed for want of prosecution, therefore, purely as an interim measure, it is directed that further proceeding of Revenue Case No. 202509271600002/B-121/2024-25 pending before respondent No. 3 - Additional Collector, Ramanujganj, District Balrampur-Ramanujganj shall remain stayed, till the next date of hearing.

List this case after **two weeks** enabling counsel for the State to file reply.

Certified copy, as per rules.

Sd/-

(Naresh Kumar Chandravanshi)

Judge

amit

--	--