



2026:CGHC:14439



2026:CGHC:14440  
NAFR

**HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR**

**WPS No. 1924 of 2026**

1. Smt. Mamta Tiwari W/o Shri Shiv Sagar Tiwari Aged About 48 Years Working As A Member Of District Consumer Dispute Redressal Commission Baikunthpur, District Korea (C.G.) R/o School Para Ward No. 7, Baikunthpur, District Korea (CG)
2. Shri Alok Kumar Dubey S/o Late Murarilal Dubey Aged About 53 Years Working As A Member Of District Consumer Dispute Redressal Commission Bastar, District - Bastar (C.G.), R/o Balaji Ward, Jagdalpur, District - Bastar, Chhattisgarh
3. Smt. Seema Golchha W/o Goutam Chand Golchha Aged About 56 Years Working As A Member Of District Consumer Dispute Redressal Commission Bastar, District - Bastar (C.G.), R/o In Front Of Aarti Kirana Store, Shahid Gundadthur Ward No. 45, Jagdalpur, District - Bastar, Chhattisgarh

**... Petitioners**

**versus**

1. State of Chhattisgarh Through the Principal Secretary, Food, Civil Supplies & Consumer Protection Department, Mahanadi Bhawan Mantralaya, Naya Raipur, Atal Nagar (C.G.)
2. The Registrar State Consumer Disputes Redressal Commission, Raipur (C.G.)
3. The President District Consumer Dispute Redressal Commission, Korea (Baikunthpur), District - Korea (C.G.)
4. The President District Consumer Dispute Redressal Commission Jagdalpur, District - Bastar (C.G.)

**... Respondents**

---

|                 |   |                                               |
|-----------------|---|-----------------------------------------------|
| For Petitioners | : | Mr. B.S. Rajput, Advocate                     |
| For Respondents | : | Mr. Gary Mukhopadhyay, Addl. Advocate General |

---



**S.B.: Hon'ble Shri Parth Prateem Sahu, Judge**

**Order On Board**

**25/03/2026**

1. At the outset, learned Additional Advocate General submits that tenure of the Members of District Consumers Redressal Forum is extended till 31.7.2026 in the light of decision of Hon'ble Supreme Court dated 21.5.2025 in SLP (C) No.9982/2024, a copy of which is also placed on record for perusal of this Court.
2. After going through the aforementioned order, learned counsel for petitioners submits that period has been extended only upto 31.7.2026 and not till fresh appointments are made.
3. Learned Additional Advocate General submits that the rules are formulated by the Central Government and based on the rules, fresh proceeding for appointment of the Members is to be initiated. He submits that if rules are not published before 31.7.2026 and fresh appointment are not made, then further appropriate orders will be passed.
4. In view of above submission of learned counsel for respective parties, in the opinion of this Court, nothing remains in this petition for adjudication at this stage in view of the relief sought for. Accordingly, writ petition stands disposed of.

Sd/-  
(Parth Prateem Sahu)  
Judge

roshan/-