



2026:CGHC:8818

NAFR

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

WPS No. 1873 of 2026

Surendra Kumar Gupta S/o Late Gopal Prasad Gupta Aged About 51 Years
R/o House No. 1476, Gopal Shivam Bhawan, Baba Nayar Gali, Upadhyay
Nagar, Gudhiyari, Raipur, District Raipur C.G.

... Petitioner(s)

Versus

- 1** - Union Of India Through The Director General, Railway Protection Force,
Railway Protection Force Control Room Railway Board New Delhi, 110001.
- 2** - Inspector General Cum Principal Chief Security Commissioner, Railway
Protection Force, South East Central Railway Zone Bilaspur, District Bilaspur
C.G.
- 3** - Commissioner Senior Divisional Security Commissioner Railway
Protection Force, Raipur, South East Central Railway Division Raipur, District
Raipur C.G.
- 4** - Assistant Protection Commissioner- 1 Railway Protection Force, Raipur,
South East Central Railway Division Raipur, District Raipur C.G.

... Respondent(s)

For Petitioner	:	Mr. Ajit Kumar Singh, Advocate
For Respondent	:	Mr. Ramakant Mishra, D.S.G.

HON'BLE SHRI PARTH PRATEEM SAHU, JUDGE

ORDER ON BOARD

19/02/2026

1. The petitioner has filed this writ petition seeking following reliefs.

“10.1 That, this Hon’ble Court may kindly call for the entire records pertaining to the case of the petitioner.

10.2 It is, therefore, respectfully prayed that this Hon’ble Court may be pleased to issue writ of appropriate nature to set aside the impugned orders dated 30.12.2021 passed by respondent No. 4 (Annexure-P/1) in the facts and circumstances of the case.

10.3 It is, therefore, respectfully prayed that this Hon’ble Court may be pleased to issue a writ or appropriate direction to the respondents and direct respondent No. 2 to consider and decide the appeal preferred by the petitioner on 16.01.2022, in accordance with law and in light of the facts and circumstances of the case, within a time frame as may be fixed by this Hon’ble Court.

10.3 That, respectfully prayed that this Hon’ble Court may be pleased to issue writ of appropriate nature to the respondent authority and pay has been fixed or revised without insisting upon the impugned orders and also paid the arrears of the salary of which would be deducted during the pendency of the instant petition due penalty of stoppage of one increment w.e.f. from in the year 2023 in the facts and circumstances of the case.”

2. Learned counsel for petitioner submits that the petitioner is holding the post of Head Constable (RPF) was issued charge memo and thereafter without following due process of law has inflicted punishment. The order of punishment dated 30.12.2021 is challenged by the petitioner in an appeal vide Annexure-P/4, however till date it is pending consideration and therefore, direction be issued to respondent No. 2 to consider and decide the pending appeal within specified time.
3. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that as the petitioner is only seeking a direction to consider appeal preferred him, it would be considered and decided in accordance with law.

4. I have heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the documents.
5. On due consideration of the submissions made by learned counsel for the parties, the writ petition is disposed of directing respondent No. 2 to take decision on the appeal submitted by the petitioner, in accordance with law, expeditiously, preferably within a further period of two months from the date of receipt of a copy of the order passed by this Court.
6. Accordingly, this writ petition is disposed of with the aforesaid observation and direction.

Sd/-

(Parth Prateem Sahu)
JUDGE

Saurabh