



2026:CGHC:9998



2026:CGHC:9998

NAFR

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

WPS No. 2083 of 2026

Manbodhi Ram Sahu S/o Mahetaru Ram Sahu Aged About 68 Years R/o Village- Kasdol, Rice Mill Road, Near Jagannath Petrol Pump, Police Station- Kasdol District- Baloda Bazar (C.G.)

... Petitioner(s)

versus

1 - State Of Chhattisgarh Through The Secretary, Urban Administration And Development, Department Atal Nagar, District- Raipur (C.G.)

2 - Director Directorate Urban, Administration And Development, Department, Atal Nagar, District- Raipur (C.G.)

3 - Joint Director Directorate, Urban Administration And Development Department Atal Nagar, Raipur (C.G.)

4 - Joint Director Directorate, Urban Administration And Development Department Durg (C.G.)

5 - The Collector District- Mohla Manpur, Ambagarh Chowki (C.G.)

6 - President Nagar Panchayat Ambagarh Chowki, District- Mohla Manpur, Ambagarh Chowki (C.G.)



7 - Chief Municipal Officer Nagar Panchayat, Ambagarh Chowki, District-Mohla Manpur, Ambagarh Chowki (C.G.)

... Respondent(s)

For Petitioners	:	Ms. Ranjana Jaiswal, Advocate
For State	:	Mr. Virendra Verma, Panel Lawyer

S.B.: Hon'ble Shri Parth Prateem Sahu, Judge

Order on Board

26/02/2026

- Petitioner has filed this writ petition seeking following reliefs:

“10.1 That, this Hon'ble Court may kindly be pleased to direct the respondents to pay his money 3,76,816/-(Three lakhs seventy six thousand, eight hundred sixteen Rupees with interest.

10.2 Any other relief which this Hon'ble Court may deem fit.”
- Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner while working on the post of Assistant Revenue Inspector stood retired from service on 30th April 2020. After retirement petitioner was not paid entire retiral dues and therefore petitioner had submitted multiple representations and lastly on 21.07.2025 before respondent No.7. However, till date, no decision has been taken. She submits that as representation is still pending consideration, a direction be issued to respondent No.7 to consider and decide the representation at the earliest within specified time frame.
- Learned counsel for the State submits that claim of petitioner is against respondent No.7/Municipality for which he is not appearing.



4. On due consideration of submission of learned counsel for the parties and further considering that petitioner has already submitted representation before respondent No.7, this writ petition is disposed of at admission stage directing respondent No.7 to take decision on representation submitted by petitioner in accordance with law, expeditiously, preferably within a period of 4 months from the date of receipt of copy of this order.
5. Petitioner will be at liberty to submit fresh representation if he so desires and if such representation is submitted, the same shall also be considered and decided along with the pending representation in above terms.

Sd/-

(Parth Prateem Sahu)
Judge