



NAFR

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

WPS No. 2081 of 2026

Aman Kumar S/o Late Pappu Alias Omprakash Aged About 25 Years
Resident Of Ward No. 07, Line Dafai Khongapani, Tahsil- Manendragarh,
District- Manendragarh-Chirmiri-Bharatpur, Chhattisgarh

... Petitioner(s)

versus

1 - South Eastern Coalfields Limited (S.E.C.L.) Through Its General Manager,
Head Quarter- Registered Office At Seepat Road, District- Bilaspur,
Chhattisgarh

2 - General Manager (Personal), S.E.C.L. Haldibari Colliery, Hasdeo Area,
Post Office- West Jhagrakhand Colliery, District- Koriya Chhattisgarh

3 - Colliery Manager, S.E.C.L. Haldibari Colliery, Office Of The Manager,
Haldibari Colliery, Hasdeo Area, Post Office- West Jhagrakhand Colliery,
District- Koriya Chhattisgarh

4 - Smt. Rekha Kewat W/o Ganesh Mallik Aged About 33 Years Resident Of
Ward No. 07, Line Dafai Khongapani, Tahsil- Manendragarh, District-
Manendragarh-Chirmiri-Bharatpur, Chhattisgarh

... Respondent(s)

Order Sheet

26/02/2026	Mr. Ravi Kumar Bhagat, counsel for the petitioner. Mr. Vaibhav Shukla, counsel for respondents No. 1 to 3, on advance copy. Heard on admission. Issue notice to respondents. Mr. Vaibhav Shukla, Advocate accepts notice for respondents No. 1 to 3. Hence, PF is not required to be paid for

Digitally
signed by
PRAVEEN
KUMAR
SINHA
Date:
2026.02.27
11:11:32
+0530

issuance of notice to those respondents.

Issue notice to respondent No.4 on payment of PF as per rules. Notice be made returnable in three weeks.

Also heard on IA No.1, which is an application for grant of interim relief.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner has submitted an application for grant of dependent employment. However, subsequently, respondent No.4 who is mother of petitioner also submitted application for dependent employment. It is contention of learned counsel for the petitioner that during lifetime, father of petitioner had filed an application for dissolution of marriage and grant of decree of divorce which was allowed vide judgment dated 15.10.2019 and marriage of respondent No.4 with father of petitioner was dissolved. Pursuant to decree of divorce, name of respondent No.4 has been deleted from service record of father of petitioner. Petitioner came to know that respondents are considering the claim of respondent No.4 for grant of dependent employment without taking note of the fact that respondent No.4 is divorcee, her name has also been deleted from service record and therefore she is not entitled for dependent employment.

Learned counsel for respondents No. 1 to 3 submits that he will file reply to interim application also.

On due consideration of submission of learned counsel for the parties, purely as an interim measure, respondents are directed not to issue dependent employment in favour of any of the applicant till the next date of hearing.

List this case in the month of **June 2026**.

Sd/-

(Parth Prateem Sahu)

Judge