



2026:CGHC:9580



2026:CGHC:9580

NAFR**HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR****WPC No. 810 of 2026**

LIC Housing Finance Through The Authorized Officer Mr. Ritesh Kumar Singh, Aged About 48 Years, S/o Shri Vijay Singh, Designated As Manager Operations At L I C Housing Finance Limited, Having Its Address At Pandri Raipur C.G. 492001.

... Petitioner**versus**

1 - The Collector/District Magistrate Having Address At Collectorate, Raipur C.G. 492001

2 - Saket Agarwal S/o Shri Ram Gopal Agarwal, Having Address At A-1/b Merlin, Jayshree Vihar, Main Road, pandritarai, Raipur C.G. 492001.

3 - Smt. Sunita Agarwal W/o Shri Ram Gopal Agarwal Having Address At A-1/b Merlin, Jayshree Vihar, Main Road, Pandritarai, Raipur C.G. 492001.

4 - Smt. Ranu Agarwal W/o Shri Jagdish Prasad Agarwal Having Address At A-1/b Merlin, Jayshree Vihar, Main Road, Pandritarai, Raipur C.G. 492001.

5 - Shri Ram Gopal Agarwal S/o Shri Niranjan Lal Agarwal Having Address At A-1/b Merlin, Jayshree Vihar, Main Road, Pandritarai, Raipur C.G. 492001.

... Respondents

For Petitioner : Mr. Sourabh Jain, Advocate through VC and Mr. Ayush Verma, Advocate

For Respondent No.1/State : Mr. Anand Dadariya, Dy. Advocate General

(Hon'ble Shri Justice Naresh Kumar Chandravanshi)**Order on Board****24.02.2026**

1. The petitioner has preferred this petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, seeking following relief(s):-



2026:CGHC:9580

“i. To kindly direct respondent No.1 Collector/District Magistrate Raipur, to pass the suitable order for taking the physical possession of the secured asset, at the earliest, as the time prescribed has already been elapsed.

ii. To kindly make any other order that may be deemed fit and just in the facts and circumstances of the case including awarding of the costs to the petitioner.”

2. At the outset, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that instant petition has become infructuous, as order has been passed by respondent No.1/The Collector, Raipur on 23.02.2026 in pursuance of application filed by the petitioner under Section 14 of the Securitisation and Reconstruction of Financial Assets and Enforcement of Security Interest Act, 2002.

3. Learned counsel for the State has also conceded the above submission.

4. In view of the above, this petition is dismissed as having become infructuous.

5. Pending interlocutory application(s), if any, stands disposed of.

Sd/-

(Naresh Kumar Chandravanshi)

Judge