



2026:CGHC:10041



2026:CGHC:10041

NAFR

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

MCRCA No. 285 of 2026

1 - Ramsaroj Soni S/o Late Hiralal Soni, Aged About 34 Years R/o D-1 Vishal Nagar Residency, Telibnadha Post Ravigram, District- Raipur Chhattisgarh

2 - Geetanjali Sahu W/o Tarun Kumar Kiran Aged About 39 Years R/o Village Bhanpuri, District- Dhamtari , Chhattisgarh

3 - Naseeb Kumar Koshale S/o Ramdayal Koshale Aged About 36 Years R/o Ambedkar Nagar, Parsabhader Road Bhatagaon Post Office Bharsela Bada District- Balodabazar- Bhatapara Chhattisgarh

... Applicants

versus

State Of Chhattisgarh Through Police Station Civil Line, Raipur District- Raipur, Chhattisgarh

... Respondent

For Applicants	: Shri Shivbhan Singh Banjare, Advocate.
For	: Ms. Anusha Naik, Dy.G.A.
Respondent/State	



Hon'ble Shri Ramesh Sinha, Chief Justice
Order on Board

26/02/2026

1. This first anticipatory bail application under Section 482 of the Bhartiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 have been filed by the applicants, who are apprehending their arrest in connection with Crime No.225/2022 registered at Police Station – Civil Line, District Raipur, Chhattisgarh. for the offences punishable under Sections 420, 468 of I.P.C.
2. Case of the prosecution, in brief, is that as per the F.I.R. lodged with Police Station Civil Line, District - Raipur, the complainant Bhartendu Kumar Kamal, has filed complaint case U/s 156 (3) Cr.P.C. has made allegation that during the course of recruitment for the post of Sub-Engineer (Civil) Grade-3, Executive conducted in year, 2011-12, certain persons have unlawfully obtained the job by submitting false information in their applications and has alleged large scale corruption in the entire recruitment process. That the complaint case has been allowed by the learned Judicial Magistrate First Class Raipur, vide order dated 09/08/2021 and in light of the said order an FIR at crime no. 225/2022 has been registered.
3. Learned counsel for the applicants submits that the applicants are young, and they are innocent and they have been falsely implicated in the present offence. He would submit that the



applicants had participated in the recruitment process, though the applicants possessed the requisite qualification, the same was obtained only after the cut-off date, i.e. 23/03/2011 and the committee was constituted, which found that 89 candidates who were appointed did not possess the requisite qualification as on the cut-off date. He would submit that co-accused persons namely Smt. Yogeshwari Sahu & Mohan Netam have been granted anticipatory bail by this Court in MCRCA No. 1379 of 2025 on 09/09/2025 and co-accused Vividh Singh, Rajkishore Tigga, Kamlesh Chandrakar & Pradeep Baghel have been granted anticipatory bail in MCRCA No. 1293/2025 on 26.08.2025. He would submit that the applicants are permanent resident of address shown in the cause title, there is no chance of their absconding and applicants are ready to furnish adequate surety and shall abide by all the directions and conditions, which may be imposed by this Court, therefore, he submits that the present applicants are entitled to be released on anticipatory bail.

4. On the other hand, learned State counsel, appearing for the non - applicant/State, opposes the prayer for grant of anticipatory bail but do not dispute the fact that co-accused persons have been granted anticipatory bail by this Court.
5. I have heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the material available on record.



6. Considering the facts & circumstances of the case, submission of learned counsel for the parties, materials available on record, further considering the fact that co-accused persons namely Smt. Yogeshwari Sahu & Mohan Netam have been granted anticipatory bail by this Court in MCRCA No. 1379 of 2025 on 09/09/2025 and co-accused Vividh Singh, Rajkishore Tigga, Kamlesh Chandrakar & Pradeep Baghel have been granted anticipatory bail in MCRCA No.1293/2025 on 26.08.2025 and also considering the fact that investigation and trial are likely to take some time, without commenting anything on the merits of the case, I am inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the present applicants.
7. Accordingly, the instant MCRCA is **allowed** and it is directed that in the event of arrest of the applicants –**Ramsaroj Soni, Geetanjali Sahu & Naseeb Kumar Koshale**, on each of them executing a personal bond and one surety in the like sum to the satisfaction of the arresting Officer, they shall be released on bail on the following conditions:-
- (a) The applicants shall not directly or indirectly make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade him from disclosing such fact to the Court.
 - (b) The applicants shall not act in any manner which will be prejudicial to fair and expeditious trial.



2026:CGHC:10041

(c) The applicants shall appear before the trial Court on each and every date given to them by the said Court till disposal of the trial.

(d) The applicants and the surety shall submit a copy of their adhaar card along with a coloured postcard full size photo having printed the adhaar number on it, which shall be verified by the trial Court.

(e) The applicants shall not involve themselves in any offence of similar nature in future.

Sd/-

(Ramesh Sinha)
Chief Justice