



2026:CGHC:12374-DB

NAFR

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

WA No. 221 of 2026

1 - State of Chhattisgarh Through Secretary, Nagariya Prashan Awam Vikas Vibhag, Mahanadi Bhawan, Mantralaya, New Raipur, Distt. Raipur Chhattisgarh

2 - The Director Nagariya Prashasan Awam Vikas Vibhag, Mahanadi Bhawan Mantralaya, New Raipur, Distt. Raipur Chhattisgarh

... Appellants

versus

1 - Sheikh Amin Ullah S/o Late Shri Navi Ullah Aged About 36 Years Working As Peon In The Municipal Corporation, Bilaspur, Tashil And Distt. Bilaspur, Civil And Revenue Distt. Bilaspur Chhattisgarh

2 - The Municipal Corporation, Bilaspur Through Its Commissioner, Bilaspur Distt. Bilaspur Chhattisgarh

... Respondents

(Cause-title taken from Case Information System)

For Appellants	:	Mr. Prasun Kumar Bhaduri, Deputy Advocate General
For Respondent No.1	:	Mr. Manoj Kumar Sinha, Advocate
For Respondent No.2	:	Mr. Shaleen Singh Baghel, Advocate

Hon'ble Shri Ramesh Sinha, Chief Justice

Hon'ble Shri Ravindra Kumar Agrawal, Judge

Judgment on Board

Per Ramesh Sinha, Chief Justice

16.03.2026

1. Heard Mr. Prasun Kumar Bhaduri, learned Deputy Advocate General, appearing for the State/appellants as well as Mr. Manoj Kumar Sinha, learned counsel appearing for respondent No.1 and Mr. Shaleen Singh Baghel, learned counsel appearing for respondent No.2 on I.A.



No.02, which is an application for condonation of delay of 17 days in preferring the present appeal.

2. On due consideration the grounds assigned in the application (I.A. No.02), the same is allowed. Delay of 17 days in preferring the present writ appeal is hereby condoned.

3. With the consent of learned counsel appearing for the respective parties, the appeal is heard finally.

4. The present writ appeal is directed against the order dated 10.12.2025 passed by learned Single Judge of this Court in WPS No.11299/2025, whereby the writ petition filed by respondent No.1 / writ petitioner was allowed.

5. Learned counsel appearing for the parties submit that the issue involved in this writ appeal has already been considered and decided by this Court vide judgment dated 25.02.2026 in Writ Appeal No.184/2026, whereby this Court has held as under:-

“10. Learned Single Judge while allowing the writ petition filed by respondent No.1 has observed as under:-

“5. Learned counsel for the petitioners would further submit that the bunch of these writ petitions may be disposed of in terms of the aforesaid order passed by this Court. The aforesaid submission made by learned counsel for the petitioners is not disputed by counsel for the respondents.

6. Accordingly, all the impugned orders so far as it relates to the petitioners are quashed. The petitioners are directed to be reinstated on the post of Peon. It is



clarified that the petitioners are not entitled to get back wages, however, their seniority shall be reckoned from the initial date of their appointment without any break in service.

*7. Accordingly, all the bunch of these writ petitions are allowed in terms of order dated 18-11-2025 passed by this Court in **WPS No. 12389 of 2025 in case of Jaichand Sarthi (supra).***”

11. Considering the submissions advanced by learned counsel for the parties, perusing the documents appended with writ petition as also with writ appeal and also considering the observation made by learned Single Judge while allowing the writ petition filed by respondent No.1, we are of the considered view that learned Single Judge has not committed any illegality or irregularity in the impugned order warranting interference by this Court.

12. Accordingly, the writ appeal being devoid of merit is liable to be and is hereby dismissed. No cost(s). ”

They further submitted that since the facts and issue involved in the present case is identical to that of Writ Appeal No.184/2026, this appeal may also be disposed off in the same terms.

6. Having considered the rival submissions made by learned counsel for the parties and having gone through the materials on record, it is evident that the facts and issue involved in this appeal is identical to **Writ Appeal No.184/2026**, this Court deems it



appropriate not to take a view other than what has been taken in

Writ Appeal No.184/2026.

7. Accordingly, the present appeal is **dismissed** in terms of the order dated **25.02.2026** passed in **Writ Appeal No.184/2026**.

Sd/-

(Ravindra Kumar Agrawal)
Judge

Sd/-

(Ramesh Sinha)
Chief Justice

Anu