



2026:CGHC:9437-DB
NAFR

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

WPC No. 807 of 2026

M/s Nimesh Yadav Through Its Authorised Partner Mr. Nimesh Yadav, S/o Shri Ram Kumar Yadav, Aged About 22 Yeas, R/o Ward No. 9, In Front Of Jay Bharat School R.K. Transport, Janjgir, Tahsil And Distt. Janjgir Champa, Chhattisgarh.

... Petitioner

versus

1 - State of Chhattisgarh Through Its Principal Secretary, Department of Food, Civil Supplies And Consumer Protection, Govt. of Chhattisgarh, Mantralaya, Naya Raipur, Capital Complex, Tahsil Raipur, Distt. Raipur, Chhattisgarh.

2 - Chhattisgarh State Civil Supplies Corporation Limited Through Its Managing Director, Cgscscl Head Quarter, Block No. 07 A, Office Complex, Second Floor, Sector 24, Atal Nagar, Raipur, Tahsil Raipur, Distt. Raipur, Chhattisgarh, 492002.

3 - District Manager Chhattisgarh State Civil Supplies Corporation Limited, Pendra Road, Distt. Gaurela Pendra Marwahi, Chhattisgarh.

4 - Tender Evaluation Committee Through District Manager, Chhattisgarh State Civil Supplies Corporation Limited, Pendra Road, Distt. Gaurela Pendra Marwahi, Chhattisgarh.

5 - M/s Juber Ahmad Through Its Sole Proprietor, Mr. Juber Ahmad, S/o Shri Atique Ahmed, R/o Near Old Bus Stand, Pendra, Distt. Gaurela Pendra Marwahi, Chhattisgarh.

6 - M/s Yadav Road Lines Through Its Sole Proprietor, Mr. Jitendra Kumar Yadav, R/o Ward No. 06, Kargi Road Kota, Tahsil And Distt. Bilaspur, Chhattisgarh.



7 - M/s Chunni Lal Sahu Through Its Sole Proprietor, Mr. Chunni Lal Sahu, R/o Firangi Para Kargi Road Kota, Tahsil And Distt. Bilaspur, Chhattisgarh.

... Respondents

(Cause-title taken from Case Information System)

For Petitioner	:	Mr. Suryapratap Yuddhveer Singh, Advocate
For Respondent No.1/State	:	Mr. Shashank Thakur, Additional Advocate General
For Respondent No.2	:	Mr. Anumeh Shrivastava, Advocate

Hon'ble Shri Ramesh Sinha, Chief Justice
Hon'ble Shri Ravindra Kumar Agrawal, Judge

Judgment on Board

Per Ramesh Sinha, Chief Justice

24.02.2026

1. Heard Mr. Suryapratap Yuddhveer Singh, learned counsel for the petitioner. Also heard Mr. Shashank Thakur, learned Additional Advocate General, appearing for the State/respondent No.1 as well as Mr. Anumeh Shrivastava, learned counsel appearing for respondent No.2.
2. After arguing for some time, learned counsel for the petitioner seeks permission of this Court to withdraw the present petition with liberty to file a duly constituted and properly framed petition containing complete and necessary particulars.
3. Taking into consideration the aforesaid submission and upon perusal of the record, this Court finds that the present petition suffers from lack of material particulars and proper pleadings, and



therefore, is not in a position to be examined on merits.

Consequently, the petition is dismissed as withdrawn with liberty, as sought, to file a properly constituted petition in accordance with law. However, in the interest of justice and to balance equities, the dismissal is made subject to the condition that the petitioner shall deposit costs of ₹5,000/- (Rupees Five Thousand Only) before the Registry of this Court within a period of one week from today. Upon deposit, the Registry is directed to remit the said amount to the Government School for Visual and Hearing Impaired, Tifra, District Bilaspur (Chhattisgarh), for a charitable purpose.

4. Certified copies of the documents annexed with the petition, if any, shall be returned to the learned counsel for the petitioner after retaining photocopies thereof on record.

Sd/-
(Ravindra Kumar Agrawal)
Judge

Sd/-
(Ramesh Sinha)
Chief Justice