



2026:CGHC:13251



2026:CGHC:13251

NAFR

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

MCRC No. 1782 of 2026

Bhupendra Singh S/o Late Rajendra Singh Aged About 20 Years R/o Village Nawapara, Surajpur, Police Station And Tahsil Surajpur, District Surajpur, Chhattisgarh.

... Applicant

versus

State Of Chhattisgarh Through The Police Station Jhilmili, District Surajpur, Chhattisgarh.

... Respondent

For Applicant : Shri Pushpendra Kumar Patel, Advocate.

For : Ms. Sameeksha Gupta, PL.

Respondent/State

Hon'ble Mr. Ramesh Sinha, Chief Justice

Order on Board

19/03/2026

1. This is the first bail application filed under Section 483 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 (for short 'BNSS') for grant of regular bail to the applicant who has been arrested in connection with Crime No.164/2025 (wrongly mentioned in pg.06 Anx.-A/1 Crime No.160/2025) registered at Police Station Jhilmili, District Surajpur



(C.G.) for the offence punishable under Sections 303(2) & 111 of the Bharatiya Nyay Sanhita, 2023.

2. Case of the prosecution, in brief, is that, the complainant had lodged the report in Police Station Jhilmili District Surajpur, alleging therein that during midnight of 16.09.2025 to 17.09.2025, some unknown person had stolen his bike Pulser bearing registration No. CG29AC/3839. Based on the report of the complainant, Bhupendra Singh and Akash Devangan were taken into custody by the Police of Police Station Jhilmili and during interrogation the accused / applicant had accepted about the commission of offence. The Police Station Jhilmili had registered the first information report.
3. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that the applicant is innocent and he has been falsely implicated in this case. He would submit that there is nothing on record to show that the applicant has committed theft. He would submit that the applicant has total six criminal antecedents, out of which in three cases he has been granted bail by the trial court and in three cases bail applications are listed today including this case. He would submit that the charge sheet has been filed in this case, the applicant is in jail since 28/09/2025 and conclusion of trial will take some time, therefore, he prays for grant of bail to the applicant.
4. On the other hand, learned State Counsel opposes the bail application and she would submit that charge sheet has been filed in this case before the competent court. She would submit that the applicant has total six criminal antecedents, out of which in three cases he has been granted bail by the trial court and in three cases, bail applications are



listed today including this case.

5. I have heard learned counsel appearing for the parties and perused the case diary.
6. Taking into consideration the facts and circumstances of the case, nature of allegation levelled against the applicant, period of detention of the applicant since 28/09/2025, charge sheet has been filed and also considering the fact that trial is likely to take some time for its conclusion, therefore this Court is of the view that the applicant is entitled to be released on bail in this case.
7. Accordingly, the bail application is allowed and it is directed that the Applicant- **Bhupendra Singh**, involved in Crime No.164/2025 (wrongly mentioned in pg.06 Anx.-A/1 Crime No.160/2025) registered at Police Station Jhilmili, District Surajpur (C.G.) for the offence punishable under Sections 303(2) & 111 of the Bharatiya Nyay Sanhita, 2023, be released on bail on his furnishing a **personal bond** with **two sureties** in the like sum to the satisfaction of the Court concerned with the following conditions:-
 - (i) The applicant shall file an undertaking to the effect that he shall not seek any adjournment on the dates fixed for evidence when the witnesses are present in court. In case of default of this condition, it shall be open for the trial court to treat it as abuse of liberty of bail and pass orders in accordance with law.
 - (ii) The applicant shall remain present before the trial court on each date fixed, either personally or through his counsel. In case of his absence, without sufficient cause, the trial court may proceed against him under Section 269 of Bharatiya Nyaya



Sanhita.

(iii) In case, the applicant misuses the liberty of bail during trial and in order to secure his presence, proclamation under Section 84 of BNSS. is issued and the applicant fails to appear before the court on the date fixed in such proclamation, then, the trial court shall initiate proceedings against him, in accordance with law, under Section 209 of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita.

(iv) The applicant shall remain present, in person, before the trial court on the dates fixed for (i) opening of the case, (ii) framing of charge and (iii) recording of statement under Section 351 of BNSS. If in the opinion of the trial court absence of the applicant is deliberate or without sufficient cause, then it shall be open for the trial court to treat such default as abuse of liberty of bail and proceed against him in accordance with law.

8. Office is directed to send a certified copy of this order to the trial Court for necessary information and compliance.

Sd/-
(Ramesh Sinha)
CHIEF JUSTICE