



HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

Criminal Revision No. 289 of 2026

1 - Shivlal Jatav S/o Tez Singh Jatav Aged About 61 Years (Presently Aged About 80 Years)(Respondent No.7) R/o Kenpura, Post Nandgangoli, District- Murena (M.P.) Present Address Sanjay Nagar Birra, P.S. Bamhanidih, District- Janjgir Champa (C.G.)

2 - Deepak Singh Jatav S/o S. L. Jatav Aged About 25 Years Presently Aged About 43 Years)(Respondent No.8) R/o Kenpura, Post Nandgangoli, District- Murena (M.P.) Present Address Sanjay Nagar Birra, P.S. Bamhanidih, District- Janjgir Champa (C.G.)

... Applicants

versus

State Of Chhattisgarh Through Police Station Bamhanidih, District- Janjgir- Champa (C.G.)

... Non-Applicant

Order Sheet

18/03/2026	Shri Anmol Gupta, counsel for the Applicants. Shri Anish Tiwari, Dy. G.A and Ms. Sunita Manikpuri, G.A for the State/Non-Applicant. Heard on admission.
-------------------	--

	Admit. Also heard on IA No.01/2026, an application for suspension of sentence and grant of bail. The present Criminal Revision has been preferred against the judgment dated 29.01.2026 passed by the 2nd Additional Sessions Judge, Janjgir, District Janjgir–Champa (C.G.) in Criminal Appeal No.35/2021, whereby the learned Appellate Court has set aside the judgment of acquittal dated 24.12.2020 passed by the Judicial Magistrate First Class, Janjgir–Champa (C.G.) in Criminal Case No. 1664/2008 (State of Chhattisgarh vs. Porabai & Ors.) and has convicted the Applicants for the offences punishable under Sections 420/120-B, 467/120-B, 468/120-B and 471/120-B of the IPC, 1860, sentencing them to undergo rigorous imprisonment for 5 years with a fine of Rs.5,000/- on each count, with usual default stipulation. Learned Counsel for the Applicants submits that Applicant No.1 is an 80 year old man having undergone surgery of heart wherein, Pacemaker has been inserted to support functioning of his heart and he requires constant medical care and check-ups. He further submits that looking to the age of Applicant No.1 and the serious ailment he is suffering from and the Revision is likely to take some time for its final disposal, the application may be allowed. On the other hand, learned Counsel for the Non-
--	---

	Applicant/State opposed the application for bail. Having heard learned Counsel for the parties and considering the allegations levelled against the present Applicants, this Court is not inclined to grant bail to them, at this stage. Accordingly, IA No.01/2026 is rejected. List along with other connected matters. Sd/- (Sanjay Kumar Jaiswal) Judge
--	--