



2026:CGHC:20221

**NAFR****HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR****MCRC No. 1892 of 2026**

Trilochan Yadav S/o Khiteshwar Yadav Aged About 25 Years R/o Village- Libra,  
Tehsil And P S Lailunga, District- Raigarh, C.G.

**... Applicant****versus**

State of Chhattisgarh Through Officer - In - Charge Police Station- Lailunga,  
District - Raigarh, C.G.

**... Non-Applicant**


---

|                         |                                    |
|-------------------------|------------------------------------|
| For Applicant           | : Mr. Ashutosh Mishra, Advocate.   |
| For Non-Applicant/State | : Ms. Ankita Shukla, Panel Lawyer. |

---

**Hon'ble Shri Ramesh Sinha, Chief Justice****Order on Board****30.04.2026**

1. The applicant has preferred this First Bail Application under Section 483 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 for grant of regular bail, as he has been arrested in connection with Crime No.244/2025 registered at Police Station - Lailunga, District - Raigarh (C.G.) for the offence punishable under Sections 296, 115(2), 351(2), 3(5) and 118(2) of Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023.
2. The case of the prosecution, in brief, is that On 13.09.2025, the complainant, Balram Chouhan, lodged a report alleging that on 12.09.2025, while he was working at Adani Company, Milupara, one Kamlesh Patel informed him that co-accused Khiteshwar Yadav was engaged in a physical altercation with the complainant's father.

Thereafter, when the complainant reached his house at about 07:00 PM, it is alleged that the co-accused, along with his son (the present applicant), assaulted the complainant and his father, as a result of which the complainant's father sustained injuries. Subsequently, a First Information Report was registered.

3. It is argued by the learned counsel for the applicant that the applicant is innocent and has been falsely implicated in this case. He further submits that there is no seizure from the exclusive possession of the applicant. Further the applicant has no previous criminal antecedents, the charge-sheet has been filed in this case and the applicant is in jail since 22.01.2026 and trial is likely to take quite long time for its conclusion, therefore, he prays for grant of bail.
4. On the other hand, the learned State counsel opposed the bail application, submitting that the charge-sheet has already been filed. She further contended that the applicant happens to be the son of the co-accused, who allegedly assaulted the injured with a blunt object, as per the medical examination report, the injured, Lalit Chauhan, sustained injuries on his chest, and the X-ray report reveals that the 8th, 9th, and 10th ribs on the right side were found to be fractured, the said injuries have been opined to be grievous in nature and the applicant had caught hold of the injured during the incident, and the injuries were found to be grievous in nature. Therefore, it is submitted that the applicant is not entitled to be released on bail.
5. I have heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the documents available on record.
6. Taking into consideration the facts and circumstances of the case, nature and gravity of allegation levelled against the applicant and the fact that

the applicant happens to be the son of the co-accused, who allegedly assaulted the injured with a blunt object, as per the medical examination report, the injured, Lalit Chauhan, sustained injuries on his chest, and the X-ray report reveals that the 8th, 9th, and 10th ribs on the right side were found to be fractured, the said injuries have been opined to be grievous in nature and the applicant had caught hold of the injured during the incident, and the injuries were found to be grievous in nature, this Court is of the opinion that it is not a fit case to enlarge the applicant on regular bail.

7. Accordingly, the bail application of the applicant - **Trilochan Yadav** involved in Crime No.244/2025 registered at Police Station – Lailunga, District – Raigarh (C.G.) for the offence punishable under Sections 296, 115(2), 351(2), 3(5) and 118(2) of Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023, is **rejected** at this stage.
8. Needless to say that the trial Court concerned is at liberty to proceed and conclude the trial expeditiously.
9. Office is directed to send a certified copy of this order to the trial Court concerned for necessary information and compliance forthwith.

**Sd/-**  
**(Ramesh Sinha)**  
**Chief Justice**