



2026:CGHC:1011

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH, BILASPUR

Order Sheet

WPC No.703 of 2019Satish Chand Minj **Versus** State of Chhattisgarh

26/02/2019	Shri V.K. Pandey, counsel for the petitioners. Shri Rahul Jha, G.A. for the State. Heard. The SDO (Revenue), Ramanujganj has cancelled the allotment of fair price shop to the petitioners, against which they preferred an appeal before the Collector, Balrampur-Ramanujganj. The Additional Collector, Balrampur-Ramanujganj initially granted interim order in favour of the petitioners and the matter was fixed for 21.01.2019. However, before the said date, the appeal was taken up for consideration on 22.01.2019 and in the absence of the petitioners, the interim order was vacated and the matter was again posted for orders on 25.01.2019. The order sheet of 22.01.2019 refers to some communication by the Commissioner, Ambikapur Division to the Additional Collector, who was hearing the appeal. In the above backdrop of the matter, the petitioners' allegation that they were not afforded proper opportunity of hearing by the SDO (Revenue) or by the Appellate Authority needs to be considered. This apart, it is also to be considered as to whether the Commissioner could have sent a communication to its Subordinate Officer, who was hearing a statutory appeal. Issue notice to the respondents.



Learned State counsel would accept notice for respondents No.1 to 2428:6GHC:1011

Process fee be paid as per rules for service of notice on respondent No.5 and 7.

In the meanwhile, the petitioners shall be allowed to operate the subject fair price shop.

Sd/-
(Prashant Kumar Mishra)
Judge

Ayushi