



CGHC010041642026

NAFR

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

CRA No. 325 of 2026

**1 - Pooja Soni @ Juli W/o. Late Vinay Soni, Aged About 25 Years
R/o. Mahalpara Baikunthpur, Police Station And Tahsil Baikunthpur,
District Korla (C.G.)**

--- Appellant (s)

versus

**1 - State Of Chhattisgarh Through The Police Of Police Station
Kotwali Ambikapur, District Surguja (C.G.)**

--- Respondent(s)

And

CRA No. 511 of 2026

**1 - Saurabh Soni S/o Naresh Kumar Soni Aged About 24 Years R/o
Mayapur, Ambikapur, Thana And Tehsil- Ambikapur, District- Surguja
(C.G.)**

---Appellant(s)

Versus

**1 - State Of Chhattisgarh Through - Police Station Kotwali,
Ambikapur, District- Surguja (C.G.)**

--- Respondent(s)

Order Sheet

14/08/2026	Mr. Rupendra Dewangan, counsel for the appellant in CRA No.325/2026. Ms. Hamida Siddiqui, Sr. counsel assisted by Ms. Mahima Chandra, counsel for appellant in CRA No. 511/2026. Mr. Rishiraj Pithwa, Dy. Govt. Advocate for the State. Heard on I.A.No.02/2026, which is second application under Section 430 of the BNSS, 2023 for suspension of sentence and grant of bail filed in CRA No.511/2026. Vide order dated 28.02.2026, first bail application of the appellant -Saurabh Soni, was dismissed by this Court on merit. By the impugned judgment dated 24.01.2026 passed by the learned 05th Additional Sessions Judge, Surguja, District Surguja (C.G.) in Sessions Trial No.100/2020, the appellant has been convicted and

sentenced as under :-

Conviction	Sentence
U/s 306 of IPC	R.I. for 05 years and fine of Rs.200/-, in default of payment of fine amount additional R.I. for 20 days.

Learned Sr. counsel for the appellant in CRA No.511/2026 submits that the father of the appellant namely Naresh Kumar Soni, aged 57 years, is suffering from serious kidney ailment and undergoing regular dialysis. Learned Sr. counsel further submits that the father of appellant diagnosed with left and right vitreous hemorrhage, which requires operation shortly, and the appellant, being the son, requires to look after him in this critical condition for restoration of his disease. Learned counsel also submits that there is no likelihood of his case being decided in near future and looking to the detention period, he may be released on bail.

On the other hand, learned Dy. Govt. Advocate for the Stated opposed the bail application.

I have heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the material on record.

Having considered the submission of learned counsel for the appellant and having regard to the fact that after dismissal of first bail application of the

appellant – Saurabh Soni, I do not find any change in circumstances, where the appellant be released on bail.

Accordingly, I.A.No.02/2026 is **rejected**.

Sd/-

(Sanjay Kumar Jaiswal)

Judge