

**HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR**Order Sheet**MAC No. 394 of 2024**SHANKAR GOWDA @ SHANKAR MANHIRA **versus** SADANAND TANDI

22.04.2026	<p>Mr. Palash Agrawal, learned counsel for the appellant/s. Mr. Mohd Azad Siddiqui, learned counsel for respondent No. 3.</p> <p>Learned counsel for the appellant submits that the deceased was employed as a Security Guard with Security and Intelligence Services (India) Limited and was drawing a net salary of Rs. 17,573 per month. A salary certificate has been marked as Exhibit P-11. However, the learned Claims Tribunal has disbelieved the said salary certificate.</p> <p>On perusal of Exhibit P-11, it appears that the certificate has been issued by Security and Intelligence Services (India) Limited and contains details such as the PF number, UAN, ESIC particulars, and the bank account number of the deceased. The document prima facie indicates that the deceased was covered under ESIC and was receiving salary through a bank account.</p> <p>In order to verify whether Security and Intelligence Services (India) Limited is registered under ESIC, Shri Sunil Pillai, learned advocate who normally appears on behalf of</p>
-------------------	--

ESIC, is requested to ascertain the same.

Let a copy of Exhibit P-11 be furnished to Shri Sunil Pillai, who shall seek necessary instructions and file a report, if any, on or before the next date of hearing.

The name of Shri Sunil Pillai be published for the respondent in the cause list.

List the matter on 15.05.2026.

Sd/-

(Sachin Singh Rajput)

Judge