



2026:CGHC:13164



2026:CGHC:13164

NAFR

## HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

### CRR No. 233 of 2024

Rajdeep Soni (Minor) D/o Shri Krishna Kumar Soni, Aged About 7 Years Through Legal Guardian Mother Smt Bhuvneshwary W/o Krishna Kumar Soni Aged About 33 Years By Caste Soni, R/o Village Gudi Chowk Tikari Police Station Mastruri, District Bilaspur Chattisgarh.

... Applicant

versus

Krishna Kumar Soni S/o Late Diwakar Prasad Soni, Aged About 36 Years R/o Village Gudi Chowk, Dinanath Jewelers, Tikari, Police Station Masturi, District : Bilaspur, Chhattisgarh

... Respondent

---

For Applicant : Ms. Mamta Mahilange, Advocate.

For Respondent : Mr. Prabhat Kumar, Advocate on behalf of Mr. Shailendra Dubey, Advocate.

---

**Hon'ble Shri Ramesh Sinha, Chief Justice**

### **Order on Board**

**19.03.2026**

1. Heard Ms. Mamta Mahilange, learned counsel for the applicant. Also heard Mr. Prabhat Kumar, Advocate on behalf of Mr. Shailendra Dubey, learned counsel for the respondent.
2. The present revision has been filed by the applicants with the following prayer:

*“ It is therefore most respectfully prayed that this Hon'ble Court may kindly be pleased to set-aside the impugned order dated 22/03/2023 passed by the learned Family Court Janjgir, District Janjgir- Champa (C.G.) and grant the maintenance amount of Rs. 20,000/- per month to the applicant in Miscellaneous Criminal Case No. 313/2022 from the date of application for grant of maintenance and the Revision may kindly be allowed in the interest of justice. ”*



3. The present criminal revision is beyond time as has been reported by the Registry.
4. From perusal of application for condonation of delay, it is apparent that the applicant has not given day to day explanation of inordinate delay of 231 days in filing the revision, as such, the revision is barred by delay and laches and is not liable to be entertained.
5. Considering the grounds raised in I.A. No. 01/2024, I do not find any good ground to condone the delay of 231 days in preferring the revision.
6. Accordingly, I.A. No. 01/2024 is **rejected** and consequently thereto, the revision is also **dismissed**.

**Sd/-**  
**(Ramesh Sinha)**  
**Chief Justice**

Rajshekhar