



2026:CGHC:12091-DB



2026:CGHC:12091-DB

NAFR**HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR****WPS No. 1763 of 2026**

1. Dr. Yaser Qureshi S/o Late Iftekhar Mohammed Qureshi Aged About 48 Years R/o 39, Shivnath Colony, Near Raipur Naka, Rajnandgaon, Distt. Rajnandgaon Chhattisgarh
2. Dr. Dharmender Singh S/o Late Apar Singh Aged About 51 Years R/o Old Market, Ward No. 18, Near Ma Bhavani School, Dalli-Rajhara, Distt. Balod Chhattisgarh

... Petitioner(s)**versus**

1. State of Chhattisgarh Through The Principal Secretary, Higher Education Department, Mantralaya, Mahanadi Bhawan, Naya Raipur, District Raipur Chhattisgarh
2. Commissioner Higher Education Department, District Raipur Chhattisgarh
3. Chhattisgarh Public Service Commissioner (Cgpsc) Through Its Secretary, Shankar Nagar Road, Near Bhagat Singh Square, Raipur, District Raipur Chhattisgarh

...Respondent(s)

(Cause-title taken from Case Information System)



For Petitioners	:	None.
For Respondent/State	:	Mr. Praveen Das, Additional Advocate General.
For Respondent No. 3	:	Mr. Yash Mishra, Advocate on behalf of Dr. Sudeep Agrawal, Advocate.

Hon'ble Shri Ramesh Sinha, Chief Justice

Hon'ble Shri Ravindra Kumar Agrawal, Judge

Order on Board

Per Ramesh Sinha, Chief Justice

13.03.2026

1. None appears, nor is any representation made on behalf of the petitioners to press this petition when the case is called out.
2. Mr. Praveen Das, learned Additional Advocate General, appearing for the State and Mr. Yash Mishra, learned counsel holding the brief of Dr. Sudeep Agrawal, learned counsel, appearing for respondent No. 3.
3. The present petition has been filed by the petitioners with the following prayers:

“10.1 Issue a Writ of Certiorari quashing the amendment notification dated 08.06.2023 (Annexure P/3), insofar as it introduce Clause (i) and (ii) in Rule 6, 5th row, which are vague, ambiguous and arbitrary.

10.2 Issue a Writ of Mandamus directing the respondents to declare the final select list for the post of Professor within a fixed time period, strictly on the basis of the original eligibility conditions contained in advertisement dated 08.09.2021 (Annexure P/1).



10.3 Issue a Writ of Prohibition restraining the respondents from giving effect to or acting upon the impugned amended eligibility criteria dated 08.06.2023.

10.4 Issue a Writ of Mandamus directing the respondents to formulate, publish, and notify a clear, unambiguous interpretation of Clause (i) and (ii) of Rule 6, 5th row, and to remove the ongoing confusion, ambiguity and administrative chaos created by the unclear use of “and/or” in the impugned amendment.

10.5 Any other writ, order or direction which this Hon’ble Court may deem fit and proper in the facts and circumstances of the case.

10.6 Cost of the petition.”

4. Today, when the case was called, learned counsel for the petitioners was not present, nor was any representation made on behalf of the petitioners to press this petition despite repeated calls. It appears that the petitioners have lost interest in pursuing the matter.

5. Accordingly, the present petition is **dismissed for want of prosecution.**

**Sd/-
(Ravindra Kumar Agrawal)
Judge**

**Sd/-
(Ramesh Sinha)
Chief Justice**