



Digitally
signed by
ALLENA
ANNAJEE
RAO
Date:
2026.02.27
12:31:17
+0530



2026:CGHC:10105

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR**MCRC No. 1577 of 2026**

Rahul @ Piyush Yadav S/o Shri Mukesh Yadav Aged About 22 Years R/o
Ward No. 10, Bazar Para, Bhatapara, Kathadih, Thana - Mujgahan, District
Raipur Chhattisgarh **... Applicant**

versus

State of Chhattisgarh *through* Station House Officer, Police Station -
Mujgahan, District : Raipur, Chhattisgarh **... Respondent**

For the applicant	:	Mr. Rajendra Patel, Advocate
For the State	:	Ms. Priya Sharma, Panel Lawyer

(Hon'ble Shri Justice Sanjay Kumar Jaiswal)**Order on Board****26.02.2026**

1. This is first bail application filed under Section 483 of the Bhartiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 for grant of regular bail to the applicant in Crime No. 201/2025 registered at Police Station Mujgahan, District Raipur (CG) for the offences under Sections 74, 76 of B.N.S. and Section 8 & 12 of POCSO Act, 2012.

2. As per the prosecution case, the mother of victim had lodged the report that on 19.09.2025 the applicant had tried to outrage the modesty of her minor daughter aged about 7 years, on which, the crime was registered and the applicant was arrested on 20.09.2025.

3. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that it is clear from the victim's court statement that the present applicant is not the person who caused the incident with her and some other person had taken her away and touched her clothes. He next submits that in the court statement, the mother of victim has also not supported the prosecution case. He submits that the applicant is in jail since 20.09.2025 and till date out of a total 16 witnesses, only 5 have been examined and there is no immediate possibility of disposal of case, therefore, the applicant may be enlarged on bail.

4. Per contra, learned State Counsel opposes the bail application and submits that at the time of incident, the applicant was minor aged about 7 years and she had supported the prosecution case.

5. The victim/informant/complainant is not connected to VC from the concerned DLSA despite service of notice.

6. Having considered the submissions made by learned counsel for the parties and further looking to the court statements of the victim girl and her mother as also the fact that the trial is likely to take time, I am inclined to release the applicant on regular bail.

7. Accordingly, this bail application is allowed and the applicant is directed to be released on bail on his furnishing a personal bond in sum of Rs.25,000/- with one surety in the like sum to the satisfaction of the trial Court for his appearance before the said Court as and when directed in that behalf.

Cc as per rules.

Sd/-
(Sanjay Kumar Jaiswal)
Judge

Rao