

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH, BILASPUR

Order Sheet

WPC No. 1391 of 2021

Surjeet Singh and another **Versus** State Of Chhattisgarh and others

09.03.2021	<p>Mr. Vikash A. Shrivastava, Counsel for the petitioners.</p> <p>Mr. Gagan Tiwari, Dy. G.A. for the State.</p> <p>Mr. Himanshu Pandey on behalf of Mr. B. Gopa Kumar, Counsel for the NHAI.</p> <p>Heard</p> <p>Mr. Pandey submits that he voluntarily takes the notice on behalf of respondent No. 3. He was directed to place on record the office order.</p> <p>The office order on perusal would show that there are four advocates in the panel and on being asked as to how he is voluntarily accepting the notice, he submits that it would be disgraceful for the counsel to disclose. Therefore, it is felt that the kind of conduct to catch up a notice when there is panel of advocates would not in spirit of professional ethics in the like-nature. Unless the facts and way of allotment are placed before this Court at threshold a particular advocate cannot be allowed to accept the notice unless specific engagement is shown especially when a panel of advocate exists, such practice cannot be encouraged.</p> <p>Consequently, issue notice to the respondent No. 3 on payment of process fee, as per rules.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">sd/- (Goutam Bhaduri) Judge</p>
Vishakha	