



2026:CGHC:10553



2026:CGHC:10553

NAFR**HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR****MCRC No. 1539 of 2026**

Vimal Verma S/o Late Vijay Verma Aged About 20 Years Resident of
Bazarpara Seepat, Police Station Seepat, District Bilaspur (C.G.)

... Applicant**versus**

State of Chhattisgarh Through- Station House Officer, Police Station Seepat,
District Bilaspur (C.G.)

... Non-applicant

For Applicant	: Mr. Rahul Goswami, Advocate.
Non-applicant/State	: Ms. Palak Dwivedi, Panel Lawyer.

Hon'ble Shri Ramesh Sinha, Chief Justice**Order on Board****28.02.2026**

1. The applicant has preferred this First Bail Application under Section 483 of the Bhartiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 for grant of regular bail, as he has been arrested in connection with Crime No. 692/2025, registered at Police Station Seepat, District Bilaspur (C.G.) for the offence punishable under Sections 296, 115(2), 351(2), 3(5) of the Bhartiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023 and Sections 25 and 27 of the Arms Act.
2. The prosecution case, in brief, is that on 21.12.2025 at around 08:15 p.m., the applicant, Naman Loniya, a resident of Village Gudi Chuhiyapara, Seepat, had gone with his friends to see the Raut Bazar held in front of Shishu Mandir near Gudi Bus Stand. At that time, due to



prior enmity, Shivam Verma of Village Seepat Vimal, along with the applicant/accused Vimal Verma and other companions, allegedly started abusing him in obscene language referring to his mother and sister, and threatened to kill him. It is alleged that Shivam Verma struck him with a sharp object in his hand, causing injuries to his stomach above the right waist and near the left arm (Khakohori), resulting in bleeding. The applicant and other companions allegedly assaulted him with hands and fists. On the report of the applicant, on the same date at 23:08 hours, a First Information Report bearing Crime No. 692/2025 was registered at Seepat Police Station. The button knife used in the incident was seized from the child in conflict with law, Shivam Verma, and the applicant/accused Vimal Verma was arrested on 21.12.2025. On the date of the incident itself, after examining the injuries of the applicant, the doctor reported that two lacerated wounds had been caused by a sharp and hard object.

3. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that the present applicant is an innocent person who has been falsely implicated in this case. He further submits that the injuries sustained by the injured are simple in nature. He also submits that the applicant has two criminal antecedents: one case under the Excise Act, which has already been disposed of, and another case under the IPC, which is presently pending trial. He submits that the applicant has been in jail since 21.12.2025 and that the conclusion of the trial is likely to take some time. Therefore, he prays for the grant of regular bail to the applicant.
4. On the other hand, learned State Counsel opposes the bail application of the present applicant and submits that the charge-sheet has already been filed before the competent Court.



5. I have heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the case diary.
6. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, the nature and gravity of the offence, and the fact that the injury sustained by the injured person has been found to be simple in nature, moreover, the fact that the applicant has two criminal antecedents - one case under the Excise Act, which has already been disposed of, and another case under the IPC, which is presently pending trial and the charge sheet has already been submitted before the competent Court in the present case, and that the applicant has been in jail since 21.12.2025, and the conclusion of the trial is likely to take some time, I am of the opinion that the applicant is entitled to be released on bail in this case
7. Let the applicant – **Vimal Verma**, involved in Crime No. 692/2025, registered at Police Station Seepat, District Bilaspur (C.G.) for the offence punishable under Sections 296, 115(2), 351(2), 3(5) of the Bhartiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023 and Sections 25 and 27 of the Arms Act, be released on bail on his furnishing a **personal bond** with **two sureties** in the like sum to the satisfaction of the Court concerned with the following conditions:-
- (i) The applicant shall file an undertaking to the effect that he shall not seek any adjournment on the dates fixed for evidence when the witnesses are present in court. In case of default of this condition, it shall be open for the trial court to treat it as abuse of liberty of bail and pass orders in accordance with law.
 - (ii) The applicant shall remain present before the trial court on each date fixed, either personally or through his counsel. In case of his absence, without sufficient cause,



the trial court may proceed against him under Section 269 of Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita.

(iii) In case, the applicant misuses the liberty of bail during trial and in order to secure his presence, proclamation under Section 84 of BNSS. is issued and the applicant fails to appear before the court on the date fixed in such proclamation, then, the trial court shall initiate proceedings against him, in accordance with law, under Section 209 of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita.

(iv) The applicant shall remain present, in person, before the trial court on the dates fixed for (i) opening of the case, (ii) framing of charge and (iii) recording of statement under Section 351 of BNSS. If in the opinion of the trial court absence of the applicant is deliberate or without sufficient cause, then it shall be open for the trial court to treat such default as abuse of liberty of bail and proceed against him in accordance with law.

8. Office is directed to send a certified copy of this order to the trial Court concerned for necessary information and compliance forthwith.

**Sd/-
(Ramesh Sinha)
Chief Justice**

Abhishek