



NAFR

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR**MCRC No. 1449 of 2026**

Sahil Ansari S/o Ramjan Ansari (Wrongly Mentioned In Order Sheet As Ramjan Ansri) Aged About 18 Years 1 Month, R/o Village- Durgapur Ps- Shankargarh District- Balrampur Ramanujganj (C.G.)

... Applicant**versus**

State Of Chhattisgarh Through- S.H.O. Police Station- Shankargarh District- Balrampur - Ramanujganj (C.G.)

... Respondent

For Applicant : Mr. Pushkar Sinha, Advocate
For Respondent : Ms. Monika Dey, P.L.

Hon'ble Shri Justice Sanjay Kumar Jaiswal**Order On Board****25.02.2026**

1. The victim and her father appeared before this Court today through virtual mode from the concerned DLSA and expressed their no-objection in granting bail to the applicant.
2. This is the first bail application filed u/s 483 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 for grant of regular bail to the applicant who has been arrested in connection with Crime No.131/2025 registered at Police Station Shankargarh District- Balrampur - Ramanujganj (C.G.) for offence punishable under Sections



137(2), 142, 87, 65(1) & 3(5) of BNS, Sections 4, 6, 12, 17 & 18 of POCSO Act and Section 84 of J. J. Act.

3. As per the prosecution case, the applicant, Sahil Ansari, is alleged to have kidnapped the minor victim from the lawful guardianship of her parents and taken her to his house where he repeatedly committed sexual intercourse with her. He is also charged for conspiring with co-accused to intentionally confine the minor victim in his house with the intent of sexual harassment. On report being lodged in this regard, the applicant was arrested on 21.09.2025.
4. Learned counsel for applicant submits that the applicant is innocent and has been falsely implicated in this case. The victim has been examined before the trial Court and she has not supported the case of prosecution and turned hostile. The victim and her father have also expressed their no-objection in granting bail to the applicant. He submits that the co-accused, who are the parents of the applicant, have already been granted bail by the Trial Court. He submits that the victim was a consenting party and she went with the applicant of her own free will. He further submits that out of total 21 witness, only the victim has been examined, the applicant is in jail since 21.09.2025 and there is no likelihood of the trial to be concluded at the earliest. Hence, considering all these facts, the applicant may be released on bail.
5. Learned State counsel, on the other hand, opposing the bail application submits that the victim was minor at the time of



incident and considering the nature of offence, the applicant does not deserve to be released on bail.

6. Heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the case diary.
7. Taking into consideration the entire facts and circumstances of the case, particularly the court statement of the victim and also considering the facts that the victim and her father have expressed their no-objection in granting bail to the applicant, both the co-accused have already been granted bail by the Trial Court, out of 21 prosecution witnesses only the victim has been examined, the applicant is in jail since 21.09.2025 and there is all possibility of the trial taking considerable time for its conclusion, this Court is of the opinion that a case for grant of bail to the applicant has been made out.
8. Accordingly, the application for grant of bail is **allowed**. It is directed that the present Applicant shall be released on bail on his furnishing a personal bond in the sum of Rs.25,000/- with one surety in the like sum to the satisfaction of the concerned trial Court. The applicant is directed to appear before the trial Court on each and every date to be given to him by the said Court till completion of the trial.
9. Office is directed to send a certified copy of this order to the trial Court concerned for necessary information.

Sd/-
(Sanjay Kumar Jaiswal)
JUDGE