



2026:CGHC:12595-DB

**NAFR****HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR****WA No. 228 of 2026**

- 1** - Parvati Yadav D/o Rambharosh Yadav Aged About 19 Years R/o Village Khhairri, Tehsil Bhaiyathan, Distt. Surajpur, Chhattisgarh.
- 2** - Sheetal Singh Sheetal Singh Aged About 18 Years R/o Village Sonpur, P.O. Banja, Distt. Surajpur, Chhattisgarh 497231.
- 3** - Geetanjali Singh D/o Nirmal Singh Aged About 18 Years R/o Village Chukhandand, Tehsil Lakhanpur, Distt. Surajpur, Chhattisgarh.
- 4** - Sumati Bai D/o Vikul Singh Aged About 22 Years R/o Village Pasta, Tehsil Ramanujnagar, Distt. Surajpur, Chhattisgarh.
- 5** - Manisha D/o Tibhu Singh Aged About 19 Years R/o Village Bharda, Tehsil And Distt. Surajpur, Chhattisgarh.
- 6** - Anita D/o Santosh Aged About 19 Years R/o Village Jillibandh, P.O. Tambandh, Tehsil Khandgava, Distt. Korea, Chhattisgarh.
- 7** - Reema Lakra D/o Kalinder Lakra Aged About 20 Years R/o Ward No. 03, Village Rata Silli, P.O. Kusmi, Distt. Balrampur Ramanujganj, Chhattisgarh.
- 8** - Laxmi D/o Rajesh Chaudhari Aged About 18 Years R/o Ghutrpara, Near Paani Tanki, Ambikapur, Distt. Surguja, Chhattisgarh.
- 9** - Sanju Ravi D/o Dhaneswar Ravi Aged About 19 Years R/o Ward No. 7, Shanti Nagar, Shivnandanpur, P.S. Bishrampur, Distt. Surguja, Chhattisgarh.
- 10** - Savita Lakra D/o Anuklal Lakra Aged About 22 Years R/o Chandarpur, Bhaiyathan, P.O. Salka, Distt. Surajpur, Chhattisgarh.
- 11** - Nupur Vishwakarma D/o Praveen Vishwakarma Aged About 18 Years R/o Village Nawapara, Tehsil And Distt. Surajpur, Chhattisgarh.

**... Appellants****versus**

- 1** - State of Chhattisgarh Through The Secretary To The Govt. of Chhattisgarh, Department of Medical Education, Mahanadi Bhawan, Atal Nagar, P.S. Rakhi, Raipur, Chhattisgarh.
- 2** - The Commissioner Directorate of Medical Education, Swasthya Bhawan, North Block, Second Floor, Nawa Raipur, Atal Nagar, Raipur, Chhattisgarh.



**3** - The Director Directorate of Medical Education, Swasthya Bhawan, North Block, Second Floor, Nawa Raipur, Atal Nagar, Raipur, Chhattisgarh.

**4** - The Chairman Counselling Committee, Directorate of Medical Education, Swasthya Bhawan, North Block, Second Floor, Nawa Raipur, Atal Nagar, Raipur, Chhattisgarh.

**5** - Chhattisgarh Professional Examination Board Through Controller of Examination, Vyapam Bhawan, North Block, Section 19, Nawa Raipur, Atal Nagar, Raipur, Chhattisgarh.

**6** - Indian Nursing Council Through Its, Secretary, Address 8th Floor, NBCC Centre, Plot No. 2, Community Center Okhla Phase-I, New Delhi 110020.

**... Respondents**

(Cause-title taken from Case Information System)

|                      |   |                                                   |
|----------------------|---|---------------------------------------------------|
| For Appellants       | : | Mr. Aditya Agrawal, Advocate                      |
| For Respondent-State | : | Mr. Prasun Kumar Bhaduri, Deputy Advocate General |
| For Respondent No.5  | : | Mr. Avinash Singh, Advocate                       |
| For Respondent No.6  | : | Mr. Ramakant Mishra, Deputy Solicitor General     |

**Hon'ble Shri Ramesh Sinha, Chief Justice**

**Hon'ble Shri Ravindra Kumar Agrawal, Judge**

**Judgment on Board**

**Per Ramesh Sinha, Chief Justice**

**17.03.2026**

**1** Heard Mr. Aditya Agrawal, learned counsel for the appellants as well as Mr. Prasun Kumar Bhaduri, learned Deputy Advocate General, appearing for the State/respondents No.1 to 4, Mr. Avinash Singh, learned counsel appearing for respondent No.5 and Mr. Ramakant Mishra, learned Deputy Solicitor General appearing for respondent No.7.

**2** The present writ appeal is directed against the order dated 21.01.2026 passed by learned Single Judge of this Court in WPC



No.259/2026, whereby the writ petition filed by the appellants / writ petitioner was dismissed.

**3** Learned counsel appearing for the parties submit that the issue involved in this writ appeal has already been considered and decided by this Court vide judgment dated 20.02.2026 in Writ Appeal No.169/2026, whereby this Court has held as under:-

*“7. The undisputed position is that the last date for admission to the first year B.Sc. Nursing Course was fixed as 31.12.2025 in terms of the notified academic schedule. The appellants approached the learned Single Judge seeking extension of the said cut-off date on the ground that the qualifying percentile was reduced on 29.12.2025, leaving insufficient time to secure admission. The learned Single Judge declined to grant the relief, and we find no infirmity in the said view.*

*8. It is well settled that the admission schedule for professional courses must be adhered to strictly and cannot ordinarily be altered by judicial intervention. The cut-off date is fixed to maintain certainty, uniformity and discipline in the academic calendar. Once the prescribed date has expired, issuance of directions to reopen or extend the counselling process would lead to administrative uncertainty and may adversely affect the academic session. The power under Article 226, though wide, is to be*



*exercised in accordance with settled principles and not in a manner that disturbs the statutory framework governing admissions.*

*9. The reliance placed by the appellants on the reduction of percentile by the National Board of Examinations in Medical Sciences in relation to NEET PG–2025 does not advance their case, as the said decision pertains to a distinct course governed by a separate regulatory regime. No automatic parity can be claimed for B.Sc. Nursing admissions on that basis. Likewise, extension of timelines by another State Government cannot create an enforceable right in favour of the appellants in the absence of a similar policy decision by the competent authority in the present case.*

*10. We also find that the regulatory framework governing the nursing course prescribes a structured academic calendar. The categorization into Regular and Irregular Batches cannot be construed as conferring an unrestricted power to grant admissions beyond the notified deadline. The appellants participated in the admission process with full knowledge of the stipulated schedule and cannot, after expiry of the cut-off date, seek extension as a matter of right.*

*11. The learned Single Judge has exercised discretion judiciously and in consonance with settled principles of law. We find no*



*arbitrariness, illegality or perversity in the impugned order warranting interference in intra-court appeal.*

*12. Accordingly, the appeal being devoid of merit, stands dismissed. No order as to costs.”*

They further submitted that since the facts and issue involved in the present case is identical to that of Writ Appeal No.169/2026, this appeal may also be disposed off in the same terms.

- 4** Having considered the rival submissions made by learned counsel for the parties and having gone through the materials on record, it is evident that the facts and issue involved in this appeal is identical to **Writ Appeal No.169/2026**, this Court deems it appropriate not to take a view other than what has been taken in **Writ Appeal No.169/2026**.
- 5** Accordingly, the present appeal is **dismissed** in terms of the order dated **20.02.2026** passed in **Writ Appeal No.169/2026**.

**Sd/-**  
**(Ravindra Kumar Agrawal)**  
**Judge**

**Sd/-**  
**(Ramesh Sinha)**  
**Chief Justice**