

**HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR****MCRC No. 1492 of 2026****Virendra Gupta *Versus* State of Chhattisgarh****MCRC/1956/2026****Order Sheet**

13/04/2026	Heard Mr. Shailendra Dubey, learned counsel for the applicant in MCRC No. 1492/2026, and Mr. Amit Kumar Chaki, learned counsel for the applicant in MCRC No. 1956/2026. Also heard Ms. Vaishali Mahilong, learned Deputy Government Advocate appearing for the non-applicant/State. After the bail applications were allowed by this Court and the matters were under signature, it has been noticed that there is a discrepancy in mentioning of the penal offences. In MCRC No. 1492/2026, both in the present bail application as well as in the bail rejection order passed by the learned Trial Court, the offences have been mentioned as Sections 318(2)(3), 319, 336(3), 338, 340(2) and 61 of the B.N.S., whereas in the F.I.R. the



Rahul Dewangan	offences have been mentioned as Sections 318, 319, 336, 338, 340 and 61 of the B.N.S. Similarly, in MCRC No. 1956/2026, in the bail rejection order passed by the learned Trial Court, the offences have been mentioned as Sections 318(2)(3), 319, 336(3), 338, 340(2) and 61 of the B.N.S., whereas in the F.I.R. as well as in the present bail application, the offences have been mentioned as Sections 318, 319, 336, 338, 340 and 61 of the B.N.S. In view of the aforesaid discrepancy, learned counsel for the applicants are directed to obtain the necessary corrections in the bail rejection orders and to file fresh certified copies of the corrected orders, and also appropriate applications for mentioning the correct offences in the bail applications. Accordingly, one week's time is granted to the learned counsel for the applicants to place the certified copies of the corrected orders on record. List the matters in the next week. Sd/- (Ramesh Sinha) Chief Justice
----------------	---