

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH, BILASPUR

Order Sheet

**FA No. 33 of 2024**

Kranti Gupta S/o Shri Arjun Lal Gupta Aged About 45 Years  
R/o Pandatarie, Tahsil Pandariya, District Kabirdham (C.G.),...(Plaintiff)

---- Appellant

**Versus**

1. Chandrika Sahu S/o Shri Manrakan Sahu Aged About 60 Years  
R/o Ghothiya Road Kawardha, Tahsil Kawardha, District Kabirdham (C.G.),...  
(Defendants)
2. State Of Chhattisgarh, Through The Collector, Kabirdham (C.G.)

----- Respondents

08/04/2024	<p>Shri Sourabh Sharma, counsel for the appellant.</p> <p>Shri Dharmesh Shrivastava, counsel for the respondent No.1.</p> <p>Shri Sanjeev Kumar Agrawal, P.L. for the State/respondent No. 2.</p> <p>Heard on I.A. No. 1/2024, application under Order 39 Rule 1 &amp; 2 read with Section 151 of the Code of Civil Procedure.</p> <p>Learned counsel for the appellant would submit that as per information received after the suit for specific performance was dismissed and despite the fact that the present appeal was filed, a part of the land was sold and he prays time to move suitable application to bring on record persons who have purchased the property. He also prays that further alienation may be restrained as it may give rise to multiplicity of the suit.</p> <p>Learned counsel for the respondents opposes the submissions made by learned counsel for the appellant.</p> <p>Having perused the judgment and decree and further considering the fact that the appeal is filed against the dismissal of the suit for specific</p>

performance, we deem it proper to avoid multiplicity of the proceedings, it is ordered that the status-quo as exists today in respect of the alienation of the suit land to the third party shall be maintained, till the next date of hearing.

Call for the record.

Certified copy as per rules.

Sd/-

(Goutam Bhaduri)  
JUDGE

Sd/-

(Radhakishan Agrawal)  
JUDGE