



2026:CGHC:9880

NAFR

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

MCRC No. 1504 of 2026

Xmas Tigga S/o Khedwa Tigga Aged About 23 Years R/o Salyadeeh
Baandhpara, P.S. Batouli, District- Sarguja (C.G.)

... Applicant

versus

The State Of Chhattisgarh Through Sho To P.S. Kotwali Ambikapur,
District- Sarguja (C.G.)

... Respondent(s)

For Applicant : Mr. Praveen Soni, Advocate appeared through
VC

For Respondent(s) : Mr. Rishi Raj Pithawa, Dy. G.A.

For Objector : Ms. Seema Singh, Advocate

Hon'ble Shri Justice Arvind Kumar Verma

Order on Board

25/02/2026

1. The applicant has preferred this Second Bail Application under
Section 483 of BNSS, 2023 for grant of regular bail, as he has

been arrested in connection with Crime No. 0742/2024, registered at Police Station Kotwali Ambikapur, District- Sarguja (CG) for the offence punishable under Sections 55, 64(2)(e), 87, 137(2), 318(4), 336(3), 338, 340(2) of BNS, 2023 and Sections 4 and 6 of POCSO Act, 2012.

2. The First Bail Application bearing MCRC No. 927 of 2025 was rejected by this Court vide its order dated 25.02.2025.
3. The prosecution story in brief, is that, Mithuram Ravi (main accused) took the minor victim to the room of the present applicant and, thereafter, committed sexual intercourse with her on the pretext of marriage. Present applicant has helped the main accused in the alleged commission of offence. Based upon report, FIR was registered against the applicant and he has been arrested.
4. It was argued by the learned counsel for the applicant that the applicant has been falsely implicated in this case. Victim in her evidence has not made any allegation against the present applicant. There is no any other criminal antecedent against the applicant. The applicant is in jail since 19.12.2024 and conclusion of the trial will take some time. Therefore, he prays for grant of bail to the applicant.
5. On the other hand, learned State Counsel opposes the bail application and submits that all the evidences are against the

applicant.

6. I have heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the case diary.
7. Victim appeared through DLSA- Surguja and raised an objection to the present bail application.
8. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, nature of allegations, submission of learned counsel for the parties, evidence of the victim, particularly the fact that Mithuram Ravi (main accused) took the minor victim on his motorcycle and the present applicant gagged the victim from behind and forced her to sit on the motorcycle. The main accused kidnapped the minor victim and continuously committed sexual assault with her. The present applicant helped the main accused by watching over the victim. Therefore, from the role played by the present applicant in the alleged commission of crime/offence, it does not appear appropriate to grant the benefit of bail to the applicant/accused. Therefore, the bail application filed on behalf of the applicant/accused **Xmas Tigga** under Section 483 of the Criminal Procedure Code is **rejected**.
9. However, this Court hopes and trusts that the Trial Court shall make earnest endeavour to conclude the trial expeditiously as soon as possible in accordance with law, if there is no legal impediment.

10. Office is directed to provide a certified copy of this order to the trial Court concerned for necessary information and compliance forthwith.

Sd/-
(Arvind Kumar Verma)
JUDGE

Madhurima