



Digitally  
signed by  
ALLENA  
ANNAJEE  
RAO  
Date:  
2026.02.25  
16:59:12  
+0530

## HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

### MCRC No. 1431 of 2026

Aman Lahre S/o Mr. Shankar Lahre Aged About 18 Years 6 Months R/o  
Azad Nagar Police Station Takhatpur, District : Bilaspur, Chhattisgarh

**... Applicant**

**versus**

State of Chhattisgarh *through* Police Station Takhatpur, District : Bilaspur,  
Chhattisgarh

**... Respondent**

---

For the applicant : Mr. Yash Karan, Advocate

For the State : Miss Sunita Manikpuri, Govt. Advocate

---

**(Hon'ble Shri Justice Sanjay Kumar Jaiswal)**

### Order on Board

**24.02.2026**

**1.** This is first bail application filed under Section 483 of the Bhartiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 for grant of regular bail to the applicant in Crime No. 187/2025 registered at Police Station Takhatpur, District Bilaspur (CG) for the offences under Sections 137(2), 87, 65(1), 64(2)(m) of the BNS and Section 3(a), 4(2), 5(L)/06 of Protection of Children from Sexual Offences Act, 2012.

**2.** As per the prosecution case, on 14.4.2025, the father of victim lodged a report stating that on 12.4.2025 an unknown person allured

and enticed away his minor daughter but the complainant suspected that the applicant might have allured and taken her away with him. On such report, the crime was registered and during investigation the girl was recovered from from the applicant on 23.05.2025 and the applicant was arrested on 25.5.2025.

**3.** Learned counsel for the applicant submits that the victim has been examined and it is clear from her court statement that she fell in love with the applicant and expressed her desire to marry him, however, since her family members did not agree the marriage with the applicant, she herself had left the house and had gone to Hyderabad by train where she stayed with the applicant for one month. He further submits that there was delay in filing the FIR and no plausible explanation has been given. Although the prosecutrix is stated to be minor, yet the evidence of her correct age has not been proved. He submits that the applicant is 18 years of age, he is sole bread winner; he is in jail since 25.5.2025; till date out 16 witnesses only 6 have been examined and there is no immediate possibility of disposal of case, therefore, he may be enlarged on bail.

**4.** Per contra, learned State Counsel opposes the bail application and submits that at the time of incident, the victim was minor and the applicant had allured and enticed her away.

**5.** The victim's parents have appeared in person before this Court and raised objection to grant bail.

**6.** Having considered the submissions made by learned counsel for the parties and the over-all facts and circumstances of the case and further looking to the court statement of the victim, I am not inclined

to release him on regular bail at this stage. Accordingly, the bail application is **rejected**.

**7.** However, looking to the custody period of the applicant, treating the case as exceptional one the trial Court is directed to expedite the trial as early as possible preferably within a period of 5 months from the date of receipt of copy of this order.

**8.** Copy of this order be sent to the trial Court for information.

**Sd/-  
(Sanjay Kumar Jaiswal)  
Judge**

Rao