



2026:CGHC:10567

NAFR

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

MCRC No. 1689 of 2026

Sevakram Chauhan S/o Suryabhan Chauhan, Aged About 27 Years R/o Vill. Borida, P.S. Sariya, District Sarangarh- Bilaigarh (C.G.) ... **Applicant**

versus

State Of Chhattisgarh Through Police Station Sarangarh, Distt. Sarangarh- Bilaigarh (C.G.) ... **Non-applicant**

For Applicant : Mr. Manoj Jaiswal, Advocate

For Non-Applicant/State : Ms. Vaishali Mahilong, Dy. G.A.

Hon'ble Shri Ramesh Sinha, Chief Justice

Order on Board

28.02.2026

1. This is the first bail application filed under Section 483 of the Bhartiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 for grant of regular bail to the applicant who has been arrested in connection with Crime No. 11/2025 registered at Police Station – Sarangarh, District - Sarangarh- Bilaigarh (C.G.), for the offences punishable under Sections 318(4) & 296 of the BNS 2023.
2. The prosecution story in brief, is that, the complainant given money to the applicant provide the job but same has been not done and not

return to the money complainant. thereafter the complainant written complaint concern police station the police has registered the case offence under section 318(4), 296 of B.N.S.2023.

3. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that the applicant is innocent and has been falsely implicated in the present case. It is contended that the applicant has not committed any offence and that a false FIR has been registered against him, as no offence is made out from the allegations levelled in the complaint. It is further submitted that although the complainant submitted a written complaint dated 02.09.2024, the said complaint does not mention the specific date on which the alleged money was given to the applicant. Learned counsel also submits that the applicant has no previous criminal antecedents and is in judicial custody since 22.09.2025. It is further submitted that the applicant is a permanent resident of the address mentioned in the cause title, where his movable and immovable properties are situated, and therefore there is no likelihood of his absconding. The applicant is ready to furnish adequate surety and undertakes to abide by all the terms and conditions that may be imposed by this Hon'ble Court while granting bail.
4. On the other hand, learned State Counsel opposes the bail application of the present applicant and submits that the charge-sheet has already been filed in the present case, and the applicant has one criminal antecedent, therefore, he is not entitled to be released on bail.
5. I have heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the case diary.

6. Considering the overall facts and circumstances of the case, the nature and gravity of the allegations levelled against the applicant, and further taking into account that the charge-sheet has already been filed before the competent Court and that the applicant has remained in judicial custody since 22.09.2025, and as the conclusion of the trial is likely to take some time, this Court is inclined to grant regular bail to the present applicant.
7. Let the Applicant – **Sevakram Chauhan**, involved in Crime No. 11/2025 registered at Police Station – Sarangarh, District - Sarangarh- Bilaigarh (C.G.), for the offences punishable under Sections 318(4) & 296 of the BNS 2023, be released on bail on his furnishing **personal bond** with **two local sureties** in the like sum to the satisfaction of the Court concerned with the following conditions:-
 - (i) The applicant shall file an undertaking to the effect that he shall not seek any adjournment on the dates fixed for evidence when the witnesses are present in court. In case of default of this condition, it shall be open for the trial court to treat it as abuse of liberty of bail and pass orders in accordance with law.
 - (ii) The applicant shall remain present before the trial court on each date fixed, either personally or through his counsel. In case of his absence, without sufficient cause, the trial court may proceed against him under Section 269 of Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita.
 - (iii) In case, the applicant misuses the liberty of bail during trial and in order to secure his presence, proclamation under Section 84 of BNSS. is issued and the applicant fails to appear before the court on the date fixed in such proclamation, then, the trial court shall initiate proceedings against him, in accordance with law, under Section 209 of the Bharatiya Nyaya

Sanhita.

(iv) The applicant shall remain present, in person, before the trial court on the dates fixed for (i) opening of the case, (ii) framing of charge and (iii) recording of statement under Section 351 of BNSS. If in the opinion of the trial court absence of the applicant is deliberate or without sufficient cause, then it shall be open for the trial court to treat such default as abuse of liberty of bail and proceed against him in accordance with law.

- 8.** Office is directed to send a certified copy of this order to the trial Court concerned for necessary information and compliance forthwith.

Sd/-
(Ramesh Sinha)
Chief Justice