



2026:CGHC:13765



2026:CGHC:13765

NAFR

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

MCRC No. 1421 of 2026

- Rajik Khan S/o Rashid Khan Aged About 22 Years R/o Ganesh Nagar Nayapara P.S. Sirgitti District- Bilaspur (C.G.) (Wrongly Mentioned As Rajeev Khan In F.I.R)

... **Applicant(s)****versus**

- State of Chhattisgarh Through- Police Station Civil Lines District- Bilaspur (C.G.)

... **Respondent(s)****(Cause title is taken from Case Information System)**

For Applicant(s)	:	Mr. Manoj Kumar Yadav, Advocate
For Respondent(s)	:	Ms. Ritika Verma, Panel Lawyer

Hon'ble Shri Ramesh Sinha, Chief Justice

Order on Board

23.03.2026

1. The applicant has preferred this First Bail Application under Section 483 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 for grant of regular bail, as he has been arrested in connection with Crime No. 1370/2025, registered at Police Station – Civil Lines, District – Bilaspur (C.G.) for the offence punishable under Sections 119(1), 296, 351(2), 115(2), 324(4) of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023 (BNS).
2. The case of the prosecution, is that, on 17.11.2025, at about 7:45 PM, the complainant allegedly met the applicant near Bus stand at



Bajrang Chai Shop, Bilaspur. It is alleged that the applicant, along with co-accused, demanded Rs. 250/- from the complainant for purchasing liquor and for filling petrol in his vehicle. Upon refusal, the applicant is alleged to have abused the complainant caused simple injury by vehicle key, hands and fists, threatened him with dire consequences and damaged mobile phone. On the basis of said allegation Crime no. 1370/2025 was registered at police station civil lines Bilaspur for offences U/S 119(1), 296, 351(2), 115(2), 324(4), 3(5) of BNS. The applicant was arrested on 16.12.2025 and is presently in custody and no crime has ever been registered against him, there is possibility of delay in investigation and trial of the case in such situation the applicant/accused want the benefit of bail. Hence, this application.

3. It has been argued by learned counsel for the applicant that the applicant is innocent and has falsely been implicated in this case. He further submits that the allegation levelled against the present applicant is that he along with co-accused, demanded Rs.250/- from the complainant for purchasing liquor and for filling petrol in his vehicle. Upon refusal, the applicant abused the complainant and caused simple injury by vehicle key, hands and fists, threatened him with dire consequences and damaged mobile phone of the complainant. He further submits that injuries received by the injured are simple in nature and applicant is in jail since 16.12.2025 and trial may take some time for conclusion, therefore, he prays for grant of bail to the applicant.
4. On the other hand, learned State counsel opposes the bail application and submits that applicant has five criminal antecedents and all are



mentioned in the covering memo filed by the learned counsel for the applicant, it appears that applicant is a habitual offender, therefore he is not entitled for grant of bail.

5. I have heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the materials available on record.
6. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, nature and gravity of allegation levelled against the applicant, submissions made by learned counsel for the parties and also considering the fact that injuries sustained by the injured are simple in nature and applicant is in jail since 16.12.2025, considering the detention period of the applicant, hence this Court is of the view that the applicant is entitled to be granted anticipatory bail in this case.
7. Let applicant, **Rajik Khan**, involved in Crime No.1370/2025, registered at Police Station – Civil Lines, District – Bilaspur (C.G.) for the offence punishable under Sections 119(1), 296, 351(2), 115(2), 324(4) of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023 (BNS), be released on bail on his furnishing **a personal bond** with **two sureties** in the like sum to the satisfaction of the court concerned with the following conditions:-

(i) The applicant shall file an undertaking to the effect that he shall not seek any adjournment on the dates fixed for evidence when the witnesses are present in court. In case of default of this condition, it shall be open for the trial court to treat it as abuse of liberty of bail and pass orders in accordance with law.

(ii) The applicant shall remain present before the trial court on each date fixed, either personally or through his counsel. In case of his absence, without sufficient cause, the trial court may proceed against him under



Section 269 of Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita.

(iii) In case, the applicant misuses the liberty of bail during trial and in order to secure his presence, proclamation under Section 84 of BNSS. is issued and the applicant fails to appear before the court on the date fixed in such proclamation, then, the trial court shall initiate proceedings against him, in accordance with law, under Section 209 of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita.

(iv) The applicant shall remain present, in person, before the trial court on the dates fixed for (i) opening of the case, (ii) framing of charge and (iii) recording of statement under Section 351 of BNSS. If in the opinion of the trial court absence of the applicant is deliberate or without sufficient cause, then it shall be open for the trial court to treat such default as abuse of liberty of bail and proceed against him in accordance with law.

8. Office is directed to send a certified copy of this order to the trial Court concerned for necessary information and compliance forthwith.

Sd/-
(Ramesh Sinha)
Chief Justice

vaishali