



2026:CGHC:13677



2026:CGHC:13677

NAFR**HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR****M.Cr.C No.1645 of 2026**

Altamas Khan Ansari S/o Sadare Alam Ansari, Aged About 30
Years, R/o Village Noorkhanpur, Sant Ravi Das Nagar, P.S.
Bhadori, District- Bhadori (U.P.) **... Applicant**

versus

State Of Chhattisgarh Through Station House Officer, Police
Station Akaltara, District Janjgir Champa, (CG) **...Non-Applicant**

For Applicant :Shri Ishwar Jaiswal, Advocate.

For Non-Applicant/State :Shri Tarkeshwar Nande, PL.

Hon'ble Shri Justice Sanjay Kumar Jaiswal**Order on Board****23.03.2026**

1. This is the 2nd bail application filed under Section 483 of the
Bhartiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 for grant of regular bail to
the Applicant, who has been arrested in connection with Crime



No.181/2025 registered at Police Station – Akaltara, District – Janjgir-Champa, Chhattisgarh for the offence under Sections 376(2)(n) and 376(3) IPC as also under Sections 4 & 6 of POCSO Act. Earlier bail application was rejected vide order dated 23.06.2025 passed in M.Cr.C No.4312/2025, as charge-sheet was not filed.

2. The prosecution story is that in the year 2022, the Applicant took away the minor victim from the lawful custody of her parents and subjected her to forcible sexual intercourse till 2025 on the pretext of marriage and thereafter, the victim has reluctantly given birth to a child.

3. Learned counsel for the Applicant submits that the Applicant is innocent and has been falsely implicated in the present case. He further submits that out of 13 witnesses, only 3 have been examined, the victim and her father have not supported the case of the prosecution. He lastly submits that the Applicant is in jail since 15.05.2025 and the trial is likely to take quite some time for its conclusion, therefore, he may be granted bail.

4. On the other hand, learned Counsel for the State opposed the bail application and submitted that at the time of incident, the victim was minor and the Applicant has forcibly taken her away from the lawful custody of her parents.



5. Victim appeared through DLSA, Janjgir along with her brother and did not raise any objection with regard to grant of bail to the Applicant.

6. Having considered the submissions made by learned Counsel for the parties and the facts and circumstances of the case, further considering the Court statement of the victim, the pre-trial detention and trial is likely to take quite some time for its conclusion, without further commenting anything on the merits of the case, I am inclined to release the Applicant on regular bail.

7. Accordingly, the bail application is **allowed**. The Applicant is directed to be released on bail on his furnishing a personal bond in sum of Rs.25,000/- with one surety in the like sum to the satisfaction of the trial Court for his appearance before the said Court as and when directed in that behalf.

C.C as per rules.

Sd/-
(Sanjay Kumar Jaiswal)
Judge