



2026:CGHC:20788



2026:CGHC:20788

NAFR**HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR****WPS No. 1148 of 2025**

1 - Vishram Verma S/o Ramadhin Verma Aged About 56 Years R/o House No.- 62, Ward No. 6, Village- Kanhardabri, Dist.- Rajnandgaon, C.G.

2 - Shyamlal Netam S/o Bahadur Singh Netam Aged About 58 Years R/o House No.- 474, Ward No. 39, Kourinbhatta, Rajnandgaon, C.G.

3 - Ushabai Yadav D/o Babulal Yadav Aged About 61 Years R/o House No.- 215, Ward No.- 4 Shanti Nagar, Rajnandgaon, C.G.

4 - Gauribai Chouhan W/o Ramesh Singh Chouhan Aged About 54 Years R/o Station Para, Ward No.- 10, Rajnandgaon, C.G.

5 - Rajkumar Yadav S/o Bhukhauram Bhausa Aged About 58 Years R/o Pendrideeh, Rajnandgaon, C.G.

6 - Bhagchand Markam S/o Rambilas Markam Aged About 59 Years R/o Ward No. 11, Diwantola, Kothitola, Rajnandgaon, C.G.

... Petitioners**Versus**

1 - State Of Chhattisgarh Through The Secretary, Department Of Tribal Welfare Development, Mahanadi Bhawan, Nawa-Raipur, Atal Nagar, Tahsil And District-Raipur (C.G.)

2 - Commissioner Department Of State Level Tribal Welfare Development, Indravati Bhawan, Atal Nagar, Nawa Raipur, Dist.- Raipur (C.G.)



3 - Assistant Commissioner Tribal Welfare And Development Department,
Rajnandgaon, Dist.- Rajnandgaon (C.G.)

4 - Collector Rajnandgaon, Dist.- Rajnandgaon (C.G.)

... Respondents

For Petitioners : Mr. Anchal Kumar Matre, Advocate

For Respondents-State : Mr. Hariom Rai, Panel Lawyer

SB: Hon'ble Shri Parth Prateem Sahu, Judge
ORDER ON BOARD

04/05/2026

1. The petitioner has filed this writ petition seeking following reliefs:-

"10.1 That this Hon'ble Court may kindly be pleased to issue an appropriate writ/order/direction, thereby directing respondent authorities to grant the petitioners the difference of amount payable on regularization till date of their regularization as per the Work Charge and Contingency Paid Employee Rules.

10.2 That, this Hon'ble Court may kindly be pleased to issue an appropriate writ/order/direction, thereby directing the respondent authorities to consider the representations preferred by petitioners considering the contribution and work experience of petitioners.

10.3 That, any other relief/order which may deem fit and just in the facts and circumstances of the case including the costs of the petition."

2. At the outset, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that he is not pressing this writ petition on merits and is only seeking permission from this Court to submit representation before the authority concerned.
3. In view of the submissions made by learned counsel for the petitioner, this writ petition is **dismissed as withdrawn** with liberty to the petitioner to submit representation before the appropriate authority for redressal of his grievance.
4. Certified copy as per rules.

Sd/-
(Parth Prateem Sahu)
Judge

Dey