



2026:CGHC:10425



2026:CGHC:10425

NAFR

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

WPS No. 1573 of 2026

1. Chandrika Prasad Patel S/o Late Puniram Patel Aged About 56 Years R/o Village- Temar, Tehsil- Sakti, District : Sakti, Chhattisgarh
2. Sushil Kumar Patel S/o Mahesh Ram Patel Aged About 40 Years R/o Village- Masniyakala, Tehsil - Sakti, District : Sakti, Chhattisgarh
3. Achhe Ram Patel S/o Dukhram Patel Aged About 56 Years R/o Village- Kaneti, Post- Arjuni, Sakti, District : Sakti, Chhattisgarh
4. Ram Dayal Mannevar S/o Shatrudhan Lal Aged About 57 Years R/o Anjoripali, Post- Jajang, Tehsil- Sakti, District : Sakti, Chhattisgarh
5. Chamar Singh Sidar S/o Shyam Singh Sidar Aged About 54 Years R/o Village- Karrapali, Post- Ragja, Tehsil- Sakti, District : Sakti, Chhattisgarh
6. Ramprasad Lohar S/o Ujeet Ram Aged About 58 Years R/o Village- Jongara, Post- Masniyakala, Tehsil- Sakti, District : Sakti, Chhattisgarh

... Petitioners

versus

1. State of Chhattisgarh Through Secretary, School Education Department, Mahanadi Bhavan, Nava Raipur, Atal Nagar, Raipur, District : Raipur, Chhattisgarh



2. Director Directorate Of Public Instruction, Indrawati Bhawan,
Atal Nagar Naya Raipur, District : Raipur, Chhattisgarh
3. District Education Officer Sakti, District : Sakti, Chhattisgarh
4. Block Education Officer Sakti, District : Sakti, Chhattisgarh

... Respondent(s)

For Petitioner	:	Mr. Vedant Shadangi, Advocate
For Respondents	:	Mr. Gary Mukhopadhyaya, Additional Advocate General with Mr. Vivek Verma, Govt. Advocate

Hon'ble Mr. Justice Parth Prateem Sahu

Order On Board

27/02/2026

1. Petitioner has filed this writ petition seeking following reliefs:-
 - “10.1. That, the Hon’ble Court may kindly be pleased to direct the respondent authorities to consider the candidature of the petitioner for appointment in the appropriate department of the State Government as per their eligibility in accordance with law.
 - 10.2. That, the Hon’ble Court may kindly be pleased to direct the respondent authorities to decide the pending representation/grievances of the petitioner at the earliest.
 - 10.3. Any other relief which this Hon’ble Court may deem fit and proper, may also be awarded to the petitioner including cost of petition”
2. Learned counsel for petitioner submits that earlier petitioner has approached this Court by filing WPS No.4005/2004, which was disposed of observing that the State shall take decision in the case of petitioner on similar lines as in the case of Shaskiye Aupcharikettar Shikshak Sangh. Petitioner’s claim was forwarded by the District Education



Officer Sakti before the Director, Directorate of Public Instructions, Naya Raipur vide letter dated 28.4.2025 seeking guidance, however, till date no response to said letter is sent by the Director, Directorate of Public Instructions. He further submits that in the facts of the case, a direction be issued to respondent No.2 to take decision on letter, Annexure P-6 of the District Education Officer, Sakti at the earliest within specified time frame.

3. Learned State Counsel submits that as petitioner is not pressing this petition on merits and only seeking direction to respondent No.2 to take decision on letter dated 28.4.2025 of the District Education Officer Sakti, he is having no objection.
4. Heard learned counsel for respective parties.
5. On due consideration of submission of learned counsel for respective parties, without commenting anything on the claim of petitioner, this writ petition is disposed of at this stage directing respondent No.2 to take decision on the letter of the District Education Officer Sakti dated 28.4.2025 in accordance with law expeditiously, preferably within a period of 02 months from the date of receipt of order.
6. Certified copy as per rules.

Sd/-

(Parth Prateem Sahu)
Judge

roshan/-