



2025:CGHC:39795



NAFR

**HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR**

**WPC No. 1038 of 2021**

**1** - Shri Shankaracharya College Of Nursing (Run By Shri Gangajali Education Society) Amdi Nagar, Hudco, Bhilai, District Durg Chhattisgarh 490009 Through Mr. Shaji Thomas Srambical S/o Mr. S. V. Thomas Aged 48 Years, R/o Shankaracharya Campus, Junvani Bhilai, District Chhattisgarh, District : Durg, Chhattisgarh

**... Petitioner(s)**

**versus**

**1** - State Of Chhattisgarh Through Secretary, Health And Family Welfare Department, Mahanadi Bhawan, Mantralaya, Capital Complex, New Raipur, District Raipur Chhattisgarh, District : Raipur, Chhattisgarh

**2** - Director Medical Education Old Nurses Hostel, D K S Bhawan Compund, Raipur Chhattisgarh, District : Raipur, Chhattisgarh

**3** - Chhattisgarh Nurses Registration Council Through Its Registrar, Old Nurses Hostel, Director Health Services Raipur Chhattisgarh, District : Raipur, Chhattisgarh

**4** - Indian Nursing Council Through Its Secretary, Indian Nursing Council, 8th Floor, N B C C Center, Plot No. 2, Community Center Okhla, Phase-1 New Delhi, District : New Delhi, Delhi

**... Respondent(s)**

---

|                            |                                                                                 |
|----------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| <b>For Petitioner</b>      | : Ms. Ruchi Nagar, Advocate holding the brief of Shri Kshitij Sharma, Advocate. |
| <b>For State</b>           | : Mr. Sanjeev Agrawal, Panel Lawyer                                             |
| <b>For Respondent No.3</b> | : Mr. Vikas Dubey, Advocate                                                     |



2025:CGHC:39795

**For Respondent No.4** : Mr. Ramakant Mishra, Dy.S.G.

---

**Hon'ble Shri Justice Rakesh Mohan Pandey**

**Order on Board**

**08.08.2025**

- 1) At the very outset, learned counsel appearing for the petitioner would submit that grievance of the petitioner has already been redressed as order impugned has been withdrawn by the respondent authorities and the petitioner was permitted to take admission by virtue of interim order; thus, he would pray to withdraw this writ petition with liberty to revive it if cause of action subsists.
- 2) On the other hand, learned counsel appearing for the respondents would not oppose the submissions made by counsel for the petitioner.
- 3) Recording the submission made by counsel for the petitioner, the instant petition is dismissed as withdrawn with the aforesaid liberty.

Sd/-  
**(Rakesh Mohan Pandey)**  
**Judge**