



2026:CGHC:458

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH, BILASPUROrder SheetWPS No. 877 of 2019.

Swatantra Kumar Soni v. The State of Chhattisgarh & Ors.

11.02.2019	Shri Ashish Surana and Shri Ankit Borkar, Advocates for petitioner. Shri Saleem Kazi, Dy.A.G. for State. Heard. Admit. Since, all the respondents are represented through the State counsel, issuance of notice stands dispensed with. Let reply be filed within 6 weeks' and the matter be listed along with WPS No. 642/2018 and other connected Writ Petitions. The counsel for the petitioner submits that, the same interim order has already been questioned before this Court in a bunch of Writ Petitions and this Court had already on different dates had granted an interim protection to the petitioners therein and the present petitioner also may be granted similar relief. The State counsel however submits that, the petitioner is approaching this Court for the first time and that there was no earlier litigation made by the petitioner challenging the earlier action of the State Government. Be that as it may, since the other connected Writ Petitions had been entertained by this Court and this Court has also granted an interim relief to the petitioners therein and all those interim reliefs have been granted prima-facie on the ground that the impugned order does not revealed the actual rule provision which is alleged to have been violated while granting promotion to the petitioner, the same analogy would apply in the instant case.



2026:CGHC:458

Given the said facts and circumstances of the case, it is ordered that there shall be the stay of the effect and operation of the impugned order till the I.A.No.1 is decided after the reply of the respondents are filed.

Sd/-
(P. Sam Koshy)
JUDGE

Sumit