



HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

WPC No. 386 of 2026

1 - Royal Travels Through Its Partner Sayyad Anwar Ali, Aged About 57 Years,
S/o Sayyad Ahmed Ali, Intek Well Marg, Bhatagaon, Raipur Distt. Raipur
Chhattisgarh

... Petitioner

versus

1 - State Of Chhattisgarh Through Secretary, Department Of Transport,
Mantralaya, Mahanadi Bhawan, New Raipur Chhattisgarh

2 - State Transport Authority 3rd Floor, Indravati Bhawan, Nava Raipur,
Mantralaya, Raipur Chhattisgarh

3 - Mohd. Shahbuddin S/o Late Mohd. Kamrujama Bus Operator, R/o
Mominpara, Ambikapur Distt. Sarguja Chhattisgarh

... Respondents

Order Sheet

30/01/2026	Mr. Bharat Lal Dembra, counsel for the petitioner. Mr. Shobhit Mishra, Dy. G.A. for the State/respondents No.1 and 2. Heard. Issue notice to the respondents by both mode. Learned State counsel accepts notice on behalf of

respondents No.1 and 2, hence, issuance of notice to them, is dispensed with.

Process Fee be paid within a week only for respondent No.3.

Also heard on I.A. No.01/2026, application for grant of interim relief.

Learned counsel for the petitioner, referring to judgment passed by the coordinate Bench of this Court in WPC No.579/2018, decided on 28.08.2018, and the judgment rendered by Hon'ble M.P. High Court in the case of ***Shailesh Vijayvargiya vs. State of M.P. and Others {W.P. No.7081/2014, decided on 09.10.2014}***, submits that the State Transport Appellate Tribunal (for short "STAT") has remitted back the matter for consideration to the Regional Transport Authority (for short "RTA") on the ground that various clauses of application filed by respondent No.3 were not fulfilled, therefore, in light of aforesaid judgments, RTA ought to have rejected the application, but learned STAT has directed to remit back the matter to consider afresh, which is completely against the aforesaid judgments. Hence, he prays that interim protection may be granted to the petitioner.

Issue notice to the respondents on this application also, as above.

Having considered the aforesaid facts of the case, it is directed that effect and operation of the impugned order dated 19.12.2025 (Annexure-P/1) passed by the State Transport Appellate Tribunal, Raipur (CG) shall remain stayed in part i.e. to the extent of remitting back the matter to RTA, till the next date of hearing.

If process fee is not paid within a week, then this case be dismissed automatically without further reference to the Bench. In such situation, interim protection granted in favour of the petitioner shall lose its efficacy.

Sd/-
(Naresh Kumar Chandravanshi)
Judge

Rukhsar