



2026:CGHC:9795



2026:CGHC:9795

NAFR

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

WPS No. 1940 of 2026

Sudhakar Hatwar S/o Late Ganpat Rao Hatwar Aged About 74 Years R/o House No Hig 19, Shankar Nagar Sector- 01 Raipur Police Station Civil Line, District- Raipur (C.G.) 497007

... Petitioner

versus

1 - State Of Chhattisgarh Through The Chief Secretary, Public Works Department, Mantralaya, Mahanadi Bhawan, Naya Raipur (C.G.)

2 - Additional Secretary, Public Works Department, Government Of Chhattisgarh, Mantralaya Naya Raipur (C.G.)

3 - Chief Engineer , Public Works Department, Sector- 19 Naya Raipur Atal Nagar, Raipur (C.G.) -492002

... Respondents

For Petitioner	:	Mr. Shrestha Gupta, Advocate
For State	:	Mr. Garry Mukhopadhyay, Additional Advocate General

S.B.: Hon'ble Shri Parth Prateem Sahu, Judge

Order on Board

25.02.2026

1. Petitioner has filed this writ petition seeking following reliefs:-

“10.1 That the Hon'ble Court may kindly be pleased to issue a writ of Mandamus directing the Respondents to decide the



Petitioner's representations dated 08.08.2016, 25.08.2016 and 29.09.2023, 08.02.2025 by passing a reasoned and speaking order within a stipulated time in accordance with law.

10.2 That the Hon'ble High court may kindly be pleased to further direct the respondents to reimburse the judicial expenses incurred by the Petitioner in Lokayukt Case No. 24/1999 in terms of Government Circular No. 889-1163-(iii)/60 dated 05.04.1961.

10.3 That the Hon'ble Court may kindly be pleased to declare the respondent's inaction as illegal, arbitrary and unconstitutional.

10.4 That, the Hon'ble Court may kindly be pleased to award interest on delayed reimbursement.

10.5 That, the Hon'ble Court may kindly be pleased to grant any other relief deemed fit in the interest of justice."

2. Learned counsel for petitioner submits that petitioner pursuant to the Circular No. 881163-(iii)/60 issued by State Government of Madhya Pradesh dated 05.04.1961 has submitted several representations for refund of his legal expenses incurred by him. Representations submitted are still pending consideration, therefore direction be issued to respondent authorities to consider and take decision on pending representations within a specified time frame.
3. Learned counsel for respondent -State submits that in view of limited prayer made by learned counsel for petitioner he is having no objection.
4. I have heard learned counsel for respective parties.
5. On due consideration of submission made by learned counsel for respective parties, without observing anything on the merits of the case, I find it appropriate to dispose of this writ petition at this stage, directing respondent no. 3 to consider and take decision on pending



representations vide Annexure P-1 dated 08.02.2025, 29.09.2023, 25.08.2016 and 08.08.2016 in accordance with law, expeditiously, preferably within a further period of three months from the date of receipt of the Order.

6. With the aforementioned direction, this writ petition stands disposed of.

Certified copy as per rules.

sd/-

(Parth Prateem Sahu)

Judge

Alfiza