



2026:CGHC:12773

NAFR

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

WPS No. 838 of 2020

1 - Shravan Kumar Gupta S/o Late Shri Devkaran Ram Gupta
Aged About 50 Years Posted As Headmaster, Government
Primary School , Radhapur, Tahsil Sitapur, District Surguja
Chhattisgarh., District : Surguja (Ambikapur), Chhattisgarh

... Petitioner(s)

versus

1 - State Of Chhattisgarh Through The Secretary, School
Education Department , Mantralaya , Atal Nagar, Nawa Raipur
Chhattisgarh., District : Raipur, Chhattisgarh

2 - The Director Directorate Of Public Instructions ,
Chhattisgarh , Indravati Bhawan, Mantralaya , Nawa Raipur
Chhattisgarh., District : Raipur, Chhattisgarh

3 - The Joint Director Treasury, Account And Pension,
Ambikapur , District Surguja Chhattisgarh., District : Surguja
(Ambikapur), Chhattisgarh

4 - The District Education Officer Ambikapur , District Surguja
Chhattisgarh., District : Surguja (Ambikapur), Chhattisgarh

5 - The Block Education Officer Sitapur , District Surguja
Chhattisgarh., District : Surguja (Ambikapur), Chhattisgarh

... Respondent(s)

For Petitioner(s)	: Mr. Rahul Mishra, Advocate
For Respondent(s)/State	: Mr. H.A.P.S. Bhatia, P.L.

SB - Hon'ble Shri Justice Sanjay K. Agrawal

Order on Board

17.03.2026

1. The issue involved in this writ petition has already been considered by this Court in WPS/6132/2019 (Dinesh Kumar Shukla v. State of Chhattisgarh) decided on 29/01/2026 whereby this Court has held in paragraphs 10, 11 and 12 of the order as under :-

“10. As such, this Court in Single Bench has clearly held that prior to appointment in service on the post of Assistant Teacher, the persons who were appointed after 16-6-1993 would not be entitled for the benefit of two advance increments in lieu of acquiring B.Ed./D.Ed. Certificate on their own expenses. The said finding has been affirmed by the Division Bench of this Court in writ appeal and further by the Supreme Court in SLP.

11. This Court in WPS No. 2051/2017, has also considered the order 1-12-2016 which was also questioned by the petitioner therein and thereafter, the writ petition was dismissed and writ appeal against that order has also been dismissed which was affirmed in SLP by the Supreme Court. Therefore, it will not be appropriate to entertain the present writ petitions in view of the decision passed by this Court in writ petition as also in writ appeal and thereafter in SLP by the Supreme Court.

12. As such, in view of the aforesaid finding of this Court and the Supreme Court, I am not inclined to entertain these writ petitions. I do not find any merit in these writ petitions, they deserve to be and are accordingly dismissed leaving the parties to bear their own cost(s).”

2. In that view of the matter, this writ petition is dismissed in terms of the aforesaid order passed in WPS/6132/2019 (Dinesh Kumar Shukla v. State of Chhattisgarh) on 29/01/2026. Parties are directed to bear their own cost(s).

Sd/-
(Sanjay K. Agrawal)
Judge

Harneet