

**HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR****WPC No. 613 of 2020****MADHU CHANDRAKAR versus STATE OF CHHATTISGARH****Order Sheet**

<b>17/04/2026</b>	<p>Ms. Apoorva Ghore, counsel for the petitioner. Mr. D.R. Minj, Dy. A.G. for the State.</p> <p>Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that an application has been duly filed seeking an analogous hearing of the present case along with other matters. It is further contended that there are several cases, which, according to the petitioner, are identical in nature and involve similar questions of law and facts, and therefore warrant being heard together in the interest of consistency, judicial economy, and avoidance of conflicting decisions.</p> <p>The Registry is directed to verify the same, and in</p>



the event it is found that the other petitions are identical to the present petition, appropriate steps shall be taken accordingly; however, if upon such verification the other petitions are found not to be identical in nature, the Registry shall duly communicate the same in writing, clearly indicating the distinction, so as to enable further appropriate proceedings in accordance with law.

The Registrar (Judicial) is directed to examine the matter in detail, and in the event it is found that the other petitions are identical in nature to the present petition, to prepare and submit a comprehensive report in this regard, setting out the relevant particulars and findings for the consideration of the Court.

List this case along with a report on 23<sup>rd</sup> April, 2026.

**SD/-**  
**(Amitendra Kishore Prasad)**  
**Judge**