



HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

WPS No. 959 of 2019

SMT. USHA BAI **versus** STATE OF CHHATTISGARH

Order on Board

31/07/2025	Mr. Vibhor Goverdhan, Advocate on behalf of Mr. Anup Majumdar, counsel for the petitioner. Mr. Raj Kumar Gupta, counsel for respondent No. 4. Mr. Amandeep Singh, Panel Lawyer for the State / respondents No. 1 to 3 & 5. Mr. Pravas Singh Baghel, District Education Officer, Rajnandgaon is also present in person. Learned counsel for the State/respondent No. 4 would submit that instant case is pertaining to District Durg but the petitioner has impleaded Collector, Rajnandgaon as party respondent No. 2 in the instant petition and because of this mistake, instead of District Education Officer, Durg, District Education Officer, Rajnandgaon has been made Officer Incharge of the case, therefore, order dated 15.07.2025 passed by this Court could not have been complied with. In view of above, counsel for the petitioner is directed to file appropriate application to implead necessary party in the cause title of memo of petition. List this case after two weeks. Sd/- (Naresh Kumar Chandravanshi) Judge
-------------------	--