



HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

WPS No. 2152 of 2017Smt. Kanchan Bagale **versus** State of Chhattisgarh**Order Sheet**

21/10/2024	Mr. Parth Shrivastava, counsel for the petitioner. Mr. Ranbir Singh Marhas, Addl. Advocate General for the State. Mr. Raj Kumar Gupta, counsel for respondents 4 & 5. Heard on application for grant of stay. The instant writ petition was filed to quash the recovery notices demanding Rs.4,33,000/-. The earlier recovery notice was stayed by order dated 08.05.2017. The recovery was sought to be made from the husband of the petitioner who died in harness. Subsequently an amendment application is filed wherein the relief is sought to quash the impugned recovery notice dated 19.12.2023 on additional facts and grounds. Since the petitioner is widow of Shri Ramesh Chandra Baghle from whom recovery is sought and the earlier issue is interwoven with the present issue of recovery which has been sought to be stayed, therefore, the shared effort of adjudication would be required. Accordingly taking into consideration the earlier interim order and the fact that applicant is survived on the pension, the amendment prayed for is



Rao	allowed. Let necessary amendment be carried out in the petition within a week and thereafter the amended copy of the petition be filed. As an interim measure, it is further directed that the recovery as sought for by notice dated 19.12.2023 shall not be given effect to until further orders. Cc as per rules. Sd/- (GOUTAM BHADURI) JUDGE
-----	---