



HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

WPC No. 827 of 2025M/S CONCENTRIX DAKSH SERVICE **versus** UNION OF INDIAOrder Sheet

13/01/2026	Mr. Anil Tiwari, counsel for the petitioner. Mr. Tushar Dhar Diwan, CGCfor respondents No.1, 2 and 4 for Union of India. This Court vide order dated 12-2-2025 has directed to issue notice to respondent No.3 and the notice issued to respondent No.3 has been returned unserved with an endorsement due to insufficient address. Let a fresh notice be issued to respondent No.3 on furnishing correct complete address or any alternate address by counsel for the petitioner by ordinary post as well as by registered post. In addition, Hamdust is also permitted. PF be paid within a week. On the next date of hearing the petitioner shall clarify whether in excess of any declaration by any competent Court of law the respondent is violating provision of Trade Mark Act by using the names owned by the petitioner, can the Registrar of the Company be directed to take action as per Section 16 of the Companies Act, 2013. List this case on 23-2-2026. Sd/- (Narendra Kumar Vyas) Judge
Raju	