



HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

WPS No. 794 of 2026

- Narayan Lal Sahu S/o Late Punit Ram Sahu Aged About 63 Years
Retired Superintendent Land Record, R/o - House No. 890, New Banjari
Nagar, Kushalpur, Purani Basti, Raipur, District : Raipur, Chhattisgarh
... Petitioner

versus

1. State Of Chhattisgarh Through The Secretary, Department Of Revenue
And Disaster Management, Mahanadi Bhawan, Atal Nagar, Nawa Raipur,
District : Raipur, Chhattisgarh
2. The Commissioner Land Record, Indrawati Bhawan, Atal Nagar, Nawa
Raipur, District : Raipur, Chhattisgarh
3. The District Collector Raipur (Land Record Section), District : Raipur,
Chhattisgarh
4. The Joint Collector Raipur, District : Raipur, Chhattisgarh

... Respondent(s)

Order on Board

23/01/2026	Mr. Neeraj Choubey, Advocate for petitioner. Mr. Suyashdhar Badgaiya, Govt. Advocate for Resp.-State. Heard. Issue notice to Respondents.



	Learned State counsel accepts notice on behalf of respondents. He prays for and is granted three weeks' time to file reply. Also heard on I.A. No. 01/2026, application for grant of interim relief/stay. Learned counsel for petitioner submits that petitioner stood retire from the post of Superintendent, Land Records on 31.08.2025, however after retirement petitioner was paid only the amount of leave encashment and Group Insurance Scheme (GIS). He contended that petitioner has not been disbursed the amount of GPF, gratuity and pension. He submits that respondents have issued a letter/ memo on 13.01.2026 that proceeding of recovery of excess amount is pending against petitioner of Rs. 2,26,218 on the ground that at the time of fixation of second time pay scale some excess amount has been paid to petitioner. He contended that the second time pay scale according to the letter is of 01.07.2016 and the recovery is ordered only after retirement, which is not permissible. He submits that even if recovery is to be made then till petition is decided, only the disputed portion of amount may be directed to be withheld and the other dues may be directed to be disbursed in favour of petitioner. Learned State counsel submits that in view of the limited prayer made by the learned counsel for petitioner that only the
--	---



disputed portion of amount may be withheld as mentioned in Annexure P-1, he is having no objection.

In the aforementioned facts of the case, respondents are directed to release the retiral dues of petitioner apart from the disputed amount which is mentioned in Annexure P-1, expeditiously, within a further period of 03 weeks from the date of receipt of copy of this order.

List this case in the month of March 2026.

Certified copy as per rules.

**Sd/-
(Parth Prateem Sahu)
Judge**