



HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

CRMP No. 275 of 2026

- 1** - Amar Singh Rathore S/o Sukhnandan Rathore Aged About 33 Years R/o Ward No. 11, Purani Basti, Sadak Para Janjgir, District Janjgir-Champa C.G.
- 2** - Sukhnandan Rathore S/o Radhelal Rathore Aged About 63 Years R/o Ward No. 11, Purani Basti, Sadak Para Janjgir, District Janjgir-Champa C.G.
- 3** - Ahilya W/o Sukhnandan Rathore Aged About 61 Years R/o Ward No. 11, Purani Basti, Sadak Para Janjgir, District Janjgir-Champa C.G.
- 4** - Ajit Rathore S/o Sukhnandan Rathore Aged About 37 Years R/o Village Pathorta, Tahsil Itarsi, District Narmadapuram (M.P.)
- 5** - Rajani Rathore W/o Ajit Rathore Aged About 33 Years R/o Village Pathorta, Tahsil Itarsi, District Narmadapuram (M.P.)
- 6** - Anju Rathore W/o Naveen Rathore Aged About 36 Years R/o Gurughasidas Ward Champa, District Janjgir-Champa C.G.
- 7** - Naveen Rathore S/o Hanuman Prasad Rathore Aged About 42 Years R/o Gurughasidas Ward Champa, District Janjgir-Champa C.G.

... Petitioners

**versus**

1 - State of Chhattisgarh Through Station House Officer, Police Station Janjgir, District Janjgir-Champa C.G.

2 - Smt. Archana Rathore W/o Amar Singh Rathore Aged About 23 Years At Present R/o Deepka Quarter No. M.Q. 68, Azad Chowk Gali No. 3, Deepka Police Station Deepka, District Korba C.G.

... Respondents

(Cause-title taken from Case Information System)

Order Sheet

28.01.2026	Heard Mr. Vivek Singhal, learned counsel for the petitioners as well as Mr. Nitansh Jaiswal, learned Deputy Government Advocate, appearing for the State/respondent No.1. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that respondent No.2/complainant was married to petitioner No.1/husband on 27.06.2025 in accordance with Hindu rites and rituals. At the time of marriage, the family members of the complainant allegedly gave dowry commensurate with their status. It is alleged that the father of the complainant also gave a cash amount of ₹7,00,000/- and one car to the petitioners. It is further alleged that immediately after the marriage, the



petitioners subjected the complainant to continuous physical and mental cruelty and demanded additional dowry as well as land from her parents. It is also alleged that the complainant was restrained from visiting her parental home. However, during the Navratri festival, the complainant visited her parental home, after which the petitioners allegedly did not take any steps to bring her back to her matrimonial home. In this connection, a social meeting was held on 26.10.2025. It is further alleged that on 20.11.2025, petitioner No.1 left the complainant at the Family Counselling Centre, Janjgir, at a time when she was five months pregnant.

On the basis of the said complaint, the police registered Crime No.33/2026 on 09.01.2026 against the petitioners for the offences punishable under Section 4 of the Dowry Prohibition Act and Sections 85 read with Section 3(5) of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023 at Police Station Janjgir, District Janjgir-Champa (C.G.). He further submits that the allegations levelled



against the petitioners are false, fabricated, and based on a concocted story narrated by the complainant. Hence, the present petition has been filed.

Considering the fact that the dispute which arose between the parties *i.e.* petitioner No.1/husband and respondent No. 2/wife is matrimonial in nature, we deem it appropriate to make an effort to get the said dispute settled by way of mediation.

In view of the above, petitioner No.1/husband shall deposit **Rs.1,00,000/-** with the Mediation Centre of this Court within two weeks from today and the same shall be paid to the wife/respondent No.2 on her appearance before the Mediation Centre.

Parties are directed to appear before the Mediation Centre of this Court on 09.02.2026.

Learned State counsel is directed to inform the private respondent *i.e.* respondent No.2 about passing of this order, so that she may appear before the Mediation Centre of this Court of the aforesaid date.

List this matter along with report of Mediation



Centre on 25.02.2026.

It is also made clear that, if any, final settlement is arrived at between the parties, the aforesaid amount so deposited, shall be adjusted.

Sd/-
(Ravindra Kumar Agrawal)
Judge

Sd/-
(Ramesh Sinha)
Chief Justice