



2026:CGHC:4123

NAFR

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

WPS No. 782 of 2026

- Smt. Rukhmani Gharana W/o Shital Singh Aged About 62 Years R/o Sanjay Para, Ward No. 1, Bhanupratappur, District - Kanker (C.G.)

... Petitioner

versus

- State Of Chhattisgarh Through Secretary, Department Of Public Health Engineering (P.H.E.), Mahanadi Bhawan, Nava Raipur, District - Raipur, Chhattisgarh
- Engineer - In - Chief Department Of Public Health Engineering (P.H.E.) Atal Nagar, Sector 19, Nawa Raipur, Chhattisgarh
- Superintendent Engineer Public Health Engineering (P.H.E.), Kondagaon, Circle - Kondagaon, Uttar Bastar, District - Kanker, Chhattisgarh
- Executive Engineer Public Health Engineering (P.H.E.) Department Division Kanker, Uttar Bastar, District - Kanker, Chhattisgarh
- Divisional Joint Director Treasury Accounts And Pensions Bastar, District - Bastar, Chhattisgarh
- Treasury Officer District Treasury Kanker, Uttar Bastar, District - Kanker, Chhattisgarh

... Respondents

For Petitioner	: Mr. Akash Shrivastava, Advocate
For Respondent-State	: Mr. Aditya Tiwari, Panel Lawyer

Hon'ble Shri Parth Prateem Sahu, Judge

ORDER ON BOARD

23/01/2026

- Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner who stood retired as Work Charged and Contingency Paid Employees, is entitled for leave encashment but till date respondents have not extended the benefit of leave encashment to petitioner. Identical issue came up for hearing before this Court in case of **Faguvaram Patel & Ors. vs. State of C.G.**, in **W.P.(S)**

No. 3870 of 2021 decided on **30.09.2022**, wherein petitioners therein have raised identical issue which was allowed in their favour. He submits that at this stage, petitioner may be permitted to submit representation in light of **Faguvaram Patel (supra)** and respondents be directed to decide the representation to be submitted by petitioner expeditiously within specified time.

2. Learned State counsel submits that as petitioner is not pressing this petition on merits and are only seeking a direction to decide the representation to be submitted by petitioner, he is having no objection.
3. I have heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the documents available on record.
4. Taking into consideration, the limited prayer made by learned counsel for the petitioner, this petition is disposed of permitting petitioner to submit fresh representation. In the event, petitioner submits representation, her claim shall be considered and decided in accordance with law expeditiously preferably within a period of **three months** from the date of receipt of representation keeping in mind decision in case of **Faguvaram Patel (supra)**.
5. Accordingly, this petition is disposed of with aforesaid observations and directions.

Certified copy as per rules.

Sd/-

(Parth Prateem Sahu)

JUDGE