



2026:CGHC:2971-

DB

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

WA No. 51 of 2026

1 - Sapna Phillip W/o Phillip Abraham Aged About 49 Years 504, Mantri Tranquil Apartment, Subramanyapura, Off Kanakapura Road, Gubbalala, District- Bengaluru, Karnataka.

... Appellant(s)

versus

1 - State Of Chhattisgarh Through Zone Commissioner, Zone No. 08, Municipal Corporation, Raipur, Chhattisgarh.

2 - Joint Director Town And Country Planning, Raipur, Chhattisgarh.

3 - Superintendent Of Police District- Raipur, Chhattisgarh.

4 - Additional Superintendent Of Police Raipur City, Raipur, Chhattisgarh.

... Respondent(s)

Order Sheet

19/01/2026	Heard Mr. Himanshu Pandey, learned counsel for the appellant. Also heard Mr. Pankaj Agrawal, learned counsel for Municipal Corporation, Mr. Shashank Thakur, learned Addl. Advocate General, and Mr. Soumya Rai, learned Dy.

Govt. Advocate, appearing for the Respondent/State.

On a mention being made by Shri Himanshu Pandey, it was submitted that the matter pertains to a demolition case and that a writ petition has been filed by the appellant against the demolition, being WPC No. 233 of 2026. The interim application therein was rejected by the learned Single Judge of this Court on 16.01.2026, and a copy of the said order has been annexed as Annexure A/1. Upon hearing the said mention, the same was allowed today in the morning, and the matter was placed by the Registry. The present writ appeal, challenging the said order, has been placed by the Registry in Supplementary List-1, hence, the Court proceeds to hear the matter.

From a perusal of the array of respondents, it transpires that Shri Pankaj Agrawal, learned counsel appearing on behalf of the Municipal Corporation, Raipur, states that Respondent No. 1 has been wrongly impleaded as the State. On a pointed query being made to the said respondent regarding the said fact, he could not dispute that an error has been made in impleading the Municipal Commissioner through the State of Chhattisgarh.

Considering the fact that the matter pertains to demolition and that this Court has allowed the mention, and further that Shri Pankaj Agrawal, appearing for the Municipal Corporation, is present after being duly notified about the present appeal, we proceed to hear the matter. During the course of the day, Shri Himanshu Pandey shall correct the array of Respondent No. 1.

The matter has been heard finally and disposed of by a separate order.

Sd/-
(Ravindra Kumar Agrawal)
Judge

Sd/-
(Ramesh Sinha)
Chief Justice