



2026:CGHC:15130

NAFR

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

WPC No. 577 of 2021

- 1 - Manharan Dewangan, S/o Shri Khelavan, Aged About 58 Years,
- 2 - Krishn Kumar Dewangan, S/o Ramnath Dewangan Aged About 44 Years,
- 3 - Baldau Dewangan S/o Ramnath Dewangan Aged About 38 Years,
- 4 - Narendra Shukla S/o Dilip Ram Shukla, Aged About 68 Years,
- 5 - Ramkishun Dewangan S/o Buluva Dewangan, Aged About 69 Years,
- 6 - Cheduram Dewangan @ Satruhan, S/o Punaauram Dewangan Aged About 55 Years,
- 7 - Faguva Dewangan, S/o Late Jaitram Dewangan, Aged About 55 Years,
- 8 - Ram Pratap Dewangan, S/o Buluwa Dewangan, Aged About 67 Years,
- 9 - Umashankar S/o Ramprasad, Aged About 58 Years,
- 10 - Chintu Ram Yadu, S/o Late Majuram, Aged About 46 Years,
- 11 - Laxmin Bai, W/o Santan Kumar, Aged About 46 Years,
- 12 - Shreeram Dewangan, S/o Sevak Ram Dewangan, Aged About 62 Years,
- 13 - Radhika Prasad Sahu, S/o Shatruhan Sahu, Aged About 41 Years,
- 14 - Vishnu Ram Sahu, S/o Buddhuram Sahu, Aged About 64 Years,
- 15 - Bharatlal Pandey, S/o Narayan Pandey, Aged About 59 Years,
- 16 - Meelu Ram Sahu, S/o Bodhiram Sahu, Aged About 75 Years,

17 - Dhanau Ram Sahu, S/o Sukhram Sahu, Aged About 50 Years,
All the petitioner No.1 to 17 are the Resident Of Village Temri, Tehsil
Nayagadh, District Bemetara (Chhattisgarh).

... Petitioners

versus

1 - State Of Chhattisgarh Through Secretary Department Of Revenue And
Disaster Management Mahanadi Bhawan, New Raipur, District- Raipur
(Chhattisgarh).

2 - Collector Bemetara, District- Bemetara (Chhattisgarh).

3 - Competent Officer (Land Acquisition) Cum Sub-Divisional Officer
(Revenue) Bemetara, District- Bemetara (Chhattisgarh).

4 - National Highway Authority Of India Project Implementation Unit Anupam
Nagar, Raipur, District- Raipur (Chhattisgarh).

... Respondents

For Petitioners : None.

For Respondents No.1 to : Ms. K. Radhika, Panel Lawyer.

3/State

For Respondent No.4 : Shri Dhiraj Kumar Wankhede, Advocate
appears along with Ms. Aishley
Shrivastava, Advocate.

SB: Hon'ble Shri Justice Sanjay S. Agrawal

Order on Board

01/04/2026

1. No one appeared nor any representation is made on behalf of the
petitioners, though the matter has been called out for hearing today.
2. In the above circumstances, in absence of any representation, this
Court is left with no other option, but to dismiss this petition for want of
prosecution.
3. Accordingly, this petition is dismissed for want of prosecution.

Sd/-

(Sanjay S. Agrawal)
JUDGE