



2026:CGHC:9212



2026:CGHC:9212

NAFR

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

MCC No. 189 of 2026

1 - Deenanath (Dead) Through Lrs. (Om Prakash Gupta) Aged About 28 Years Nil.

1.1 - (A). Om Prakash Gupta S/o Late Deenanath Gupta Aged About 28 Years R/o Village Manpur, Tahsil Sitapur, District - Sarguja (Ambikapur), Chhattisgarh.

1.2 - (B) Vijay Gupta S/o Late Umashankar Aged About 25 Years R/o Village Manpur, Tahsil Sitapur, District - Sarguja (Ambikapur), Chhattisgarh.

1.3 - (C) Mohanlal Gupta S/o Late Deenanath Gupta Aged About 26 Years R/o Village Manpur, Tahsil Sitapur, District - Sarguja (Ambikapur), Chhattisgarh.

1.4 - 1.4 (Deleted) Jaiwant Gupta (Died) As Per Hon'ble Court Order Dated 03-04-2025 And 07-05-2025 Nil

1.4.1 - (D) (1)smt. Bindawati W/o Shri Umashankar Gupta Aged About 55 Years R/o Village Manpur, Tahsil Sitapur, District - Sarguja, Ambikapur, Chhattisgarh. (As Per Hon'ble Court Order Dated 03-04-2025 And 07-05-2025)

1.4.2 - (D) (li) Sonesh Gupta S/o Late Shri Umashankar Gupta Aged About 29 Years R/o Village Manpur, Tahsil Sitapur, District - Sarguja, Ambikapur, Chhattisgarh. (As Per Hon'ble Court Order Dated 03-04-2025 And 07-05-2025)

1.5 - (E) Leelawati W/o Krishna Chand Gupta Aged About 42 Years D/o Late Deenanath Gupta, R/o Bargidih, P.S. Batouli, District - Surajpur, Chhattisgarh.

1.6 - (F) Devendri W/o Rajendra Gupta Aged About 30 Years D/o Late Deenanath Gupta, R/o Village Kerta, P.S. - Pratappur, District - Sarguja, Chhattisgarh.

... Applicant(s)

versus

1 - Durga Prasad S/o Rambriksha Ram Aged About 35 Years R/o Village Pataradih, Tahsil Lundra (Dhaurpur), District - Sarguja, Chhattisgarh. (Plaintiff),

2 - Kashinath Yadav S/o Rajendra Prasad Yadav Aged About 32 Years R/o Khaliba, Tahsil Ambikapur, District - Sarguja, Chhattisgarh.

... Respondent(s)

For Applicant : Ms. Meena Shastri, Advocate



Hon'ble Shri Justice Rakesh Mohan Pandey

Order on Board

23.02.2026

1. The present application has been moved for restoration of SA No. 713 of 2003 which was dismissed on 19.12.2025.
2. Learned counsel for applicants would submit that on account of some unavoidable circumstances, no one could appear before this Court when the matter was taken up for hearing, therefore, SA No. 713 of 2003 was dismissed for want of prosecution. She would submit that the applicants/appellants are interested in pursuing the matter. He would pray to restore the appeal to its original number.
3. Taking into consideration the submissions made by Ms. Shastri, the instant MCC for restoration of SA No. 713 of 2003 is hereby allowed. The **SA No. 713 of 2003** is restored to its original number subject to payment of cost of Rs. 2000/- payable to Library Fund, High Court Bar Association, Bilaspur.
4. Accordingly, the instant MCC is hereby **disposed of**.

Sd/-
(Rakesh Mohan Pandey)
Judge

\$iddhant