



2026:CGHC:3502



2026:CGHC:3502

NAFR

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

WPS No. 668 of 2026

1 - Jhalkunwar Ram S/o Shri Fagu Ram Aged About 62 Years Retired Shramik At Executive Engineer, Public Works Department, N.H. Division Ambikapur, District Surguja C.G., R/o Village Charaidand, Tahsil Jashpur, District Jashpur C.G.

2 - Manmati S/o Shri Mohar Sai Aged About 62 Years Retired Shramik At Executive Engineer, Public Works Department, N.H. Division Ambikapur, District Surguja C.G., R/o Village Sikta Pra Parasgadhi, Tahsil Manendragarh, District M.C.B. C.G.

3 - Dhansay S/o Shri Duhan Aged About 62 Years Retired Shramik At Executive Engineer, Public Works Department, N.H. Division Ambikapur, District Surguja C.G., R/o Village Kenapara Odgi, Tahsil Baikunthpur, District Koriya C.G.

... Petitioners

Versus

1 - State Of Chhattisgarh Through The Secretary, Department Of Public Works, Mantralaya, Mahanadi Bhawan, New Raipur, District Raipur C.G.

2 - Engineer In Chief, Department Of Public Works, Nirman Bhawan, North Block, Naya Raipur C.G.

3 - Chief Engineer Public Works Department N.H. Raipur, District Raipur C.G.

4 - Executive Engineer, Public Works Department N.H. Division Ambikapur, District Surguja C.G.

5 - Joint Director, Treasury, Account And Pension, Ambikapur, District Surguja C.G.

... Respondents



For Petitioner : Mr. Sanjay Yadav, Advocate
For Respondents/State : Mr. Suyashdhar Badgaiya, Government Advocate

S.B.: Hon'ble Shri Parth Prateem Sahu, Judge

Order on Board

21/01/2026

1. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner who stood retired as Work Charged and Contingency Paid Employee is entitled for leave encashment but till date respondents have not extended the benefit of leave encashment to petitioner. Identical issue came up for hearing before this Court in case of **Faguvaram Patel & Ors. Vs. State of C.G., in W.P.(S) No. 3870 of 2021 decided on 30.09.2022**, wherein petitioners have raised identical issue which was allowed in their favour. He submits that at this stage, petitioner may be permitted to submit representation in light of **Faguvaram Patel** (supra) and respondents be directed to decide the representation to be submitted by petitioner expeditiously within specified time.
2. Learned State counsel submits that as petitioner is not pressing this petition on merits and are only seeking a direction to decide the representation to be submitted by petitioner, at this stage, he is having no objection.
3. I have heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the documents available on record.
4. Taking into consideration the limited prayer made by learned counsel for the petitioner, this petition is disposed of permitting petitioner to submit fresh representation. In the event, petitioner submits representation, his claim shall be considered and decided in accordance with law, expeditiously, preferably within a period of three



months from the date of receipt of representation keeping in mind
decision in case of **Faguvaram Patel (supra)**.

5. Accordingly, this petition is disposed off with aforesaid observation and direction.

C.C. as per rules.

Sd/-
(Parth Prateem Sahu)
Judge

Dey