



2026:CGHC:3267



2026:CGHC:3267

NAFR

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

WPS No. 664 of 2026

1 - Aziz Ahmed Qureshi S/o B.A. Qureshi Aged About 65 Years R/o 119-A
Rojgari Para Ward No. 18 Distt. Kondagaon C.G.

--- **Petitioner(s)**

versus

1 - State Of C.G. Through The Secretary, P.W.D Mahanadi Bhawan
Mantralaya, New Raipur, Atal Nagar Distt. Raipur (C.G.)

2 - The Engineer In Chief Public Works Department, District Raipur (C.G.)

3 - The Chief Engineer (Administration) Public Works Department, District
Raipur (C.G.)

4 - The Chief Engineer P.W.D. (Bh/sa) North Bastar Division No. 01
Jagdalpur Distt. Bastar C.G.

5 - The Superintendent Engineer Public Works Department (Bh/sa) North
Bastar Division No. 01 Jagdalpur Distt. Bastar C.G.

6 - The Executive Engineer Public Works Department (Bh/sa) North Bastar
Division No. 01 Jagdalpur Distt. Bastar C.G.

7 - Divisional Joint Director Treasury Account And Pension Bastar Division
Jagdalpur Distt. Bastar C.G.

--- **Respondent(s)**

For Petitioner : Ms. Pragya Shrivastava, Advocate

For Respondent-State : Mr. Khulesh Sahu, Panel Lawyer

SB: Hon'ble Shri Justice Parth Prateem Sahu

Order On Board

20/01/2026

1. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner who stood retired as Work Charged and Contingency Paid Employees, are entitled for leave encashment but till date respondents have not



extended the benefit of leave encashment to petitioner. Identical issue came up for hearing before this Court in case of **Faguvaram Patel & Ors. Vs. State of C.G., in W.P.(S) No. 3870 of 2021 decided on 30.09.2022**, wherein petitioners have raised identical issue which was allowed in their favour. He submits that at this stage, petitioner may be permitted to submit representation in light of **Faguvaram Patel (supra)** and respondents be directed to decide the representation to be submitted by petitioner expeditiously within specified time.

2. Learned State counsel submits that as petitioner is not pressing this petition on merits and is only seeking a direction to decide the representation to be submitted by petitioner/s, he is having no objection.
3. I have heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the documents available on record.
4. Taking into consideration, the limited prayer made by learned counsel for the petitioner, this petition is disposed of permitting petitioner to submit fresh representation. In the event, petitioner submits representation, his claim shall be considered and decided in accordance with law expeditiously preferably within a period of three months from the date of receipt of representation keeping in mind decision in case of **Faguvaram Patel (supra)**.
5. Accordingly, this petition is disposed of with aforesaid observations and directions.

C.C. as per rules.

Sd/-
(Parth Prateem Sahu)
Judge

Balram