



2026:CGHC:3110

2026:CGHC:3110
NAFR**HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR****WPS No. 573 of 2026**

1 - Raj Kumar Dhruv S/o Shyam Lal Dhruv Aged About 50 Years R/o Ward No. 50, Ashok Nagar, Sarkanda, Distt. Bilaspur Chhattisgarh

--- **Petitioner(s)**

versus

1 - State Of Chhattisgarh Through Secretary, Public Health Engineering Department, Neer Bhawan, Main Road Civil Lines, Raipur, District Raipur Chhattisgarh

2 - Engineer In Chief Public Health Engineering Department, Indravati Bhavan, Atal Nagar, Nava Raipur, District Raipur Chhattisgarh

3 - Superintending Engineer Public Health Engineering Division, Office Near Nag-Nagin Pond, In Front Of Pratham Hospital, Bahtra Bilaspur Chhattisgarh

4 - Executive Engineer Public Health Engineering Department Block Bilaspur, Old Composite Building, Bilaspur Chhattisgarh

5 - Collector Bilaspur, Collectorate, Bilaspur, District Bilaspur Chhattisgarh

6 - Divisional Joint Director Treasury Accounts And Pensions - Bilaspur Division, Bilaspur District Bilaspur Chhattisgarh

--- **Respondent(s)**

For Petitioner : Ms. Shruti Jha on behalf of Mr. Yash Verma, Advocate

For Respondent-State : Ms. Kalpesh Ruparel, Panel Lawyer

SB: Hon'ble Shri Justice Parth Prateem Sahu

Order On Board

19/01/2026

- Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner who stood retired as Work Charged and Contingency Paid Employees, are entitled for leave encashment but till date respondents have not extended the benefit of leave encashment to petitioner. Identical issue came up for hearing before this Court in case of **Faguvaram Patel & Ors. Vs. State of C.G., in W.P.(S) No. 3870 of 2021** decided on



30.09.2022, wherein petitioners have raised identical issue which was allowed in their favour. He submits that at this stage, petitioner may be permitted to submit representation in light of **Faguvaram Patel (supra)** and respondents be directed to decide the representation to be submitted by petitioner expeditiously within specified time.

2. Learned State counsel submits that as petitioner is not pressing this petition on merits and is only seeking a direction to decide the representation to be submitted by petitioner/s, he is having no objection.
3. I have heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the documents available on record.
4. Taking into consideration, the limited prayer made by learned counsel for the petitioner, this petition is disposed of permitting petitioner to submit fresh representation. In the event, petitioner submits representation, his claim shall be considered and decided in accordance with law expeditiously preferably within a period of three months from the date of receipt of representation keeping in mind decision in case of **Faguvaram Patel (supra)**.
5. Accordingly, this petition is disposed of with aforesaid observations and directions.

C.C. as per rules.

Sd/-
(Parth Prateem Sahu)
Judge

Balram