



Digitally
signed by
JYOTI
SHARMA
Date:
2026.02.27
10:35:47
+0530

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

SA No. 392 of 2017

Dinesh Kumar Uraon **versus** Dukhu Uraon

Order on Board

26/02/2026	Mr. Roop Naik, Counsel for the Appellant. Mr. Santosh Soni, G.A. for the State. Perusal of the earlier order sheets reveals that on 13.01.2026, learned counsel for the appellant had sought time to move appropriate application for bringing the LR's of deceased respondents No. 1 and 2 on record. Today, on instructions, learned counsel for the appellant submits that, so far as respondent No. 2 is concerned, his legal representatives are already impleaded as respondents No. 3 and 4 in the memo of appeal and, therefore, no separate application is required in that regard. However, he has filed I.A. No. 05, an

application under Order 22 Rule 4 of the CPC to bring the LR's of respondent No. 1, along with I.A. No. 06, an application for condonation of delay in filing the application under Order 22 Rule 4 of the CPC.

Issue notice to the proposed legal representatives of respondent No. 1 on I.A. No. 05 and I.A. No. 06 on payment of process fee within 10 days.

Perusal of the office note further shows that notices issued to respondents No. 5 and 6 are unserved.

Accordingly, issue fresh notices to respondents No. 5 and 6 on the correct addresses on I.A. No. 02, an application under Order 22 Rule 2 of the CPC, and I.A. No. 04, an application under Section 5 of the Limitation Act, on payment of fresh process fee within a period of 10 days.

List the case after 3 weeks.

Sd/-
(Bibhu Datta Guru)
Judge